

#### PLANNING ADVISORY PANEL - UPDATE SHEET

### 14 April 2020

### NORTH AREA

Item 5 – DC/19/5063/VOC - Variation of Condition No.2 of DC/10/0003/FUL - Construction of 3no. detached houses. Removal of Condition 2 - Alteration to the design and siting of plot 1 at 243 Long Road, Lowestoft NR33 9DF

Additional comments received following publication of the report from the Ward Member:

We are strongly Objecting to this Application for the following reasons.

This development was first proposed about sixteen (16) years ago and was APPROVED for three bungalows, since that time and to date there has been various submissions for design changes and size enlargements, till to date we have finished up with three (3) VERY LARGE HOUSES.

We are of the opinion that both Waveney and now East Suffolk Councils planning department has really let down the nearby residents of this development at 243 Long Road, by allowing HOUSES to be built when the original APPROVAL was for BUNGALOWS, there has been very little notifications or consultations with the neighbours, or Ward Councillors, but simple an Officer Delegated decision.

As newly elected Councillors to the Whitton Ward we requested a site visit to 245 Long Road with the planning officer were the impact of this development was greatest, so we could get a true evaluation of the issues, concerns and negative impact the development would have on the surrounding properties, this meeting took place and it was agreed that changes needed to take place with the drawings as they had incorrect measurements etc.

We also requested this Application should go before the referral committee, with a view for Application to be determined by the Planning committee (NORTH), this happened and the Application was REFUSED.

We have since requested the same to happen again with this latest submission, but unfortunately because of the latest issues surrounding Coronavirus 19, this will not be happening.'

East Suffolk Council has had to amend its constitution because of legal obligations, and this means NO planned site visit on April 7th where the Planning committee could have got a true evaluation on the impact of this development to surrounding properties, but particularly No 245 Long Road.

LEGAL ADDRESS East Suffolk House, Station Road, Melton, Woodbridge IP12 1RT DX: 41400 Woodbridge

POSTAL ADDRESS Riverside, 4 Canning Road, Lowestoft NR33 0EQ DX: 41220 Lowestoft

We now believe that the Application should be DEFERRED till after the issues with the Coronavirus is at a stage of control, and it's safe to conduct a normal planning service, after all the this Application is not an affordable development, and the probability is it will not be built till after the Coronavirus outbreak as been brought to a save conclusion.

## MATERIAL ISSUES

- 1. we note the garage has been removed from the previous proposed Application submitted, and the development moved forward, at referral committee it was documented that this may have an impact, in fact it WILL have an impact, from a property which has been refused and is know even bigger, suffering loss of light and overshadowing.
- 2. It is overdevelopment of the site
- 3. The Quality of life of No 245 has been totally effected further if this Application is Approved, they have already had their Quality of life taken with two (2) previous Approved Applications.
- 4. The side elevations are incorrect, also the bloke plan does not show the Bin storage, which is a requirement.
- 5. THERE IS NO REASON WHY THIS APPLICATION CANNOT BE EVALUATED AT SUCH A TIME WHEN THE CURRENT SITUATION WITH THE CORONAVIRUS SITUATION IS UNDER CONTROL, SO A PROPER EVALUATION OF THE SITE AND THE IMPACT OF THIS DEVELOPMENT CAN BE ADDRESSED BY THE PLANNING COMMITTEE, BECAUSE THIS IS NOT AN AFFORDABLE APPLICATION. SO WE ARE REQUESTING THIS APPLICATION IS DEFERRED TO A MORE APPROPRIATE TIME WHEN THE PLANNING COMMITTEE CAN BE GIVEN THE OPPORTUNITY TO COME TO A DETAILED DECISION.

Councillor Frank Mortimer (Whitton & Carlton)

Councillor Trish Mortimer. (Whitton & Carlton)

Additional correspondence is also attached on behalf of the neighbour who objects to the application.

# Item 6 – DC/20/0825/FUL – Proposed single storey extension to rear of residential property. Conversion of part of garage to habitable space. 14 Pier Avenue Southwold.

A revised plan has been received proposing that the decking be screened from the neighbouring property by the planting of a 2.2m high Portuguese Laurel hedge on the eastern site boundary. It is proposed that the hedge will be maintained at 2.2-2.4m high to avoid any loss of privacy to neighbouring property from the use of the decking area. The existing hedge is to be removed because it is too wide. The Council's Landscape and Arboricultural Manager has confirmed that with appropriate maintenance and ground preparation it would be feasible to plant a hedge of this height.

The following planning conditions are recommended:-

1. The development hereby permitted shall be begun within a period of three years beginning with the date of this permission.

Reason: In accordance with Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as amended.

2. The development hereby permitted shall be completed in all respects strictly in accordance with drawing P01 Rev C received 08/04/20, for which permission is hereby granted or which are

subsequently submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority and in compliance with any conditions imposed by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: For the avoidance of doubt as to what has been considered and approved.

3. The materials and finishes shall be as indicated within the submitted application and thereafter retained as such, unless otherwise agreed in writing with the local planning authority.

Reason: To ensure the satisfactory appearance of the development in the interests of visual amenity

4. Prior to the decking area being first used a 2.2m high Portuguese Laurel hedge shall be planted on the eastern boundary as shown on drawing number P01 Rev C and confirmed in email sent 08/04/20. The hedge shall be retained at a height of 2.2-2.4m thereafter.

Reason: To avoid potential overlooking and loss of privacy to the neighbouring property.