



## **Framlingham, Wickham Market and villages Community Partnership**

**Action Notes of the Meeting held at Bruisyard Village Hall, Rendham Road, Bruisyard, Saxmundham, IP17 2DX, on Thursday 30 June at 6.00pm**

### **Core Membership:**

**ESC Councillors** – Councillor Carol Poulter (Chairman), Councillor Lydia Freeman

**Town and Parish Councils** – Cllr David Chenery (Wickham Market Parish Council), Cllr John Cross (Great Glemham Parish Council), Cllr Marion Hine (Framlingham Town Council), Cllr Adrian Revill (Hacheston Parish Council), Cllr Debbi Tayler (Farnham with Stratford Parish Council), Councillor Matt Lunn (Dennington Parish Council)

**Partnership Organisations** – Jane Healey (Green Print Forum)

**Others present** – Cllr Paul Ashton (Yoxford PC), Emma Doughty (NHS), Simon Horden (Saxtead PC), Jo Reeder (Communities Together East Anglia), Nick Corke (Hour Community), David Findlay (Ufford PC), Mike Hynes (Wickham Market PC), Bryan Hall (Wickham Market PC), Ivor French (Wickham Market PC), Stuart Watson (CYDS), Paul Church (Bruisyard PC), Rachael Partridge (Suffolk Police), Louise Richardson (Student Life), Ben Bix (Democratic Services Officer), Joss Mullett (Communities Officer), Nicola Wotton (Deputy Democratic Services Manager), Alex Heys (Communities Manager), Nicole Rickard (Head of Communities), Stuart Halsey (Integration and Partnerships Manager)

Item	Discussion
1.	<p><b>Welcome and Apologies</b></p> <p>The Chairman of the Community Partnership, Councillor Carol Poulter, welcomed everyone to the meeting.</p> <p>Apologies for absence had been received from ESC Councillors Maurice Cook and Stephen Burroughes, Suffolk County Councillor Alexander Nicoll and Parish Councillor Kathryn Jones (Chair of Ufford Parish Council)</p>
2.	<p><b>Election of Vice Chairman</b></p> <p>It was proposed by Councillor Poulter, duly seconded, and with the agreement of those present that Councillor Maurice Cook be elected as the Community Partnership's Vice Chair for 2022/23</p>



3.	<p><b>Notes</b></p> <p>The Action Notes of the meeting held on 24 March 2022 were agreed as a correct record.</p>
4.	<p><b>Annual Review of the Terms of Reference</b></p> <p>The Community Partnership reviewed the Terms of Reference and had no amendments to put to the Community Partnership Board.</p>
5.	<p><b>Update from the Community Partnership Board</b></p> <p>Nicole Rickard (NR) provided a summary of the meeting of the Community Partnership Board held on 13 June 2022. The Board had discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Implementation of the Peer Challenge Action Plan</li> <li>• The Annual Report 2021-22</li> <li>• The Report from the Tackling Inequalities Task Group</li> <li>• Outcomes from the Annual Forum 2022</li> <li>• Update from the Mental Health Task and Finish Group</li> </ul> <p>Attention was drawn to the Peer Review Action Plan, which had identified three priorities to guide the work of the Community Partnerships:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Each Community Partnership needed to reset after lockdown</li> <li>2. Each Community Partnership needed to equip itself to deliver its plan</li> <li>3. We must all communicate what we do</li> </ol>
6.	<p><b>Priority Review Workshop to consider the Community Partnership's priorities for 2022/23</b></p> <p>Nicole Rickard explained that the meeting was an opportunity to use the data pack provided along with local intelligence to consider, refine, and focus the priorities of the Community Partnership as part of the resetting process identified in the Peer Review Action Plan.</p> <p>The partnership discussed the data presented by JM, and asked for more detail on housing condition, mental health, and transport. JW and NR clarified that mental health and depression data had been collected prior to the pandemic, and that that housing condition data had come from the Index of Multiple Deprivation.</p> <p>Observations were made about the ageing population in the area, and that transport connectivity for that population was a significant challenge. Whilst there</p>



	<p>was a 'Katch' bus service, it was not suitable for health appointments, and not all older people could use the online booking system. Greater awareness raising was important, as was collaboration amongst service delivery partners.</p> <p>Louise Richardson (LR) gave a presentation on the work of Student Life on mental health and wellbeing in East Suffolk. In addition to the data pack circulated, LR explained that Student Life was a mental health charity, guided by a steering group of young people. The services provided by Student Life included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mental health and wellbeing programmes</li> <li>• The creation of mental health ambassadors with schools</li> <li>• The production of mental health films, made by upskilled young people</li> </ul> <p>The aim of the charity was to train as many young people as possible to be a support resource for themselves and their peers, all schools in East Suffolk were within the scope of the charity.</p> <p>Stuart Halsey (SH) gave an overview of the Connect space which provided the link between the Integrated Neighbourhood Team and other Partners and programmes of work. The Connect space was the voluntary and community sector working collaboratively with statutory services to improve the health and wellbeing of our communities, by identifying localised priorities and solutions. It was apparent that alignment of priorities across the public and voluntary sectors was important to make the best use of resources.</p> <p><b>ACTION</b> – JM to circulate a link to the Suffolk Data Observatory and relevant indices of multiple deprivation directly to the partnership, and BB to circulate the Connect leaflet with the action notes of the meeting.</p>
7.	<p><b>Proposed priorities and voting</b></p> <p>The Community Partnership worked in small groups to identify its priorities. 16 priorities were identified, and participants were then asked to express their preference for a top three from the 16.</p> <p><b>ACTION</b> – JM to collate the priorities, identify the top three from the preferences expressed, and present those to the October meeting.</p>

The meeting concluded at 8.35pm.