

**NORTH PLANNING COMMITTEE - UPDATE SHEET****DATE – 16 MARCH 2021****Item 5 – DC/20/4965/FUL – General upgrade and additions to a dated and modest detached property at 4 Blyth Road, Southwold, IP18 6AZ.**

Additional comments received from Southwold and Reydon Society:

“The Executive Committee have considered the revised plans and note that the height of the building has been reduced and the external finishes have been simplified. We therefore support this application as a good innovative design and recommend that it is approved.”

Item 6 – DC/20/5274/FUL - Single storey side and rear extension – Linden Close, Aldeburgh

Four additional representations received, including representation from Ward Member (Cllr Bond) and neighbour to north, raising the following key points:

The footprint of the proposal has not changed nor has the plot got any larger. The proposal is an over development.

The rear extension roofline will cast a shadow over the garden and patio doors of No 41.

The plans are inaccurate, with insufficient space for the rear extension.

The boundary fence is built 20cm inside the boundary line on land in the ownership of No 41. The extension must be 1.2m from this fence, which must remain untouched during construction, which should be made a condition of planning permission.

A new 2m tall fence should be built on the boundary line.

Consultation on the revised plans has not been satisfactorily carried out.

Further comments have been received from Aldeburgh Town Council:

LEGAL ADDRESS East Suffolk House, Station Road, Melton, Woodbridge IP12 1RT
DX: 41400 Woodbridge

POSTAL ADDRESS Riverside, 4 Canning Road, Lowestoft NR33 0EQ
DX: 41220 Lowestoft

“ATC Planning Committee continues to consider this application to be overdevelopment of the site and also considers the roof height will result in a loss of light to the neighbouring property. The committee does not consider that this revised application has dealt with the issues previously raised. The committee supports the concerns of many of the neighbours who are concerned that the development will significantly alter the street scene of this Close. The committee is concerned that the consultation process supporting this application has not followed the same process as the previous applications for this property and therefore OBJECTS to this application.”

Officer Comment:

Agent confirmed that site was subject of survey and relationship to neighbours was taken from OS mapping.