

FULL COUNCIL Wednesday, 26 January 2022

Subject	Authorisation of Officers: Attendance at Magistrates' Court
Report by	Councillor Maurice Cook Cabinet Member with responsibility for Resources
Supporting Officer	Chris Bing Monitoring Officer & Head of Legal and Democratic Services chris.bing@eastsuffolk.gov.uk 01394 444408

Is the report Open or Exempt?	OPEN
Category of Exempt	Not applicable.
Information and reason why it	
is NOT in the public interest to	
disclose the exempt	
information.	
Wards Affected:	All Wards
	All Wards

Purpose and high-level overview

Purpose of Report:

To recommend that Thereza (Terri) Lawson, Jak Miller, Caroline Greig, Gillian Juby, Peter Seeley, Steven Oxborough, Lucy Talbot, Nigel Adams, Feria Siblon, Jade Ellis, Kieran Kingston-Mills, Arthur Roberts and Lesley Walker be authorised to represent East Suffolk Council in the Magistrates' Court in accordance with Section 223 of the Local Government Act 1972.

Options:

No other options were considered.

Recommendation/s:

That Thereza (Terri) Lawson, Jak Miller, Caroline Greig, Gillian Juby, Peter Seeley, Steven Oxborough, Lucy Talbot, Nigel Adams, Feria Siblon, Jade Ellis, Kieran Kingston-Mills, Arthur Roberts and Lesley Walker be authorised to represent East Suffolk Council in the Magistrates' Court in accordance with Section 223 of the Local Government Act 1972.

Corporate Impact Assessment

Governance:
None.
ESC policies and strategies that directly apply to the proposal:
None.
Environmental:
None.
Equalities and Diversity:
None.
Financial:
None.
Human Resources:
None.
ICT:
None.
Legal:
Officers will be authorised to represent East Suffolk Council in the Magistrates' Court in accordance with Section 223 of the Local Government Act 1972.
Risk:
None.

|--|

Strategic Plan Priorities

	Select the priorities of the <u>Strategic Plan</u> which are supported by this proposal: Primary Secondar		
(Select only one primary and as many secondary as appropriate) priority prio			priorities
T01	Growing our Economy		
P01	Build the right environment for East Suffolk		
P02	Attract and stimulate inward investment		
P03	Maximise and grow the unique selling points of East Suffolk		
P04	Business partnerships		
P05	Support and deliver infrastructure		
T02	Enabling our Communities		
P06	Community Partnerships		
P07	Taking positive action on what matters most		
P08	Maximising health, well-being and safety in our District		
P09	Community Pride		
T03	Maintaining Financial Sustainability		
P10	Organisational design and streamlining services		
P11	Making best use of and investing in our assets		
P12	Being commercially astute	X	
P13	Optimising our financial investments and grant opportunities		
P14	Review service delivery with partners		
T04	Delivering Digital Transformation		
P15	Digital by default		
P16	Lean and efficient streamlined services		
P17	Effective use of data		
P18	Skills and training		
P19	District-wide digital infrastructure		
T05	Caring for our Environment		
P20	Lead by example		
P21	Minimise waste, reuse materials, increase recycling		
P22	Renewable energy		
P23	Protection, education and influence		
XXX	Governance		
XXX	XXX How ESC governs itself as an authority		
How does this proposal support the priorities selected?			
Therefore, to have a number of officers authorised to appear in court will provide resilience and effective cover, thus improving our ability to recover Council Tax.			

Background and Justification for Recommendation

1	Background facts
1.1	Section 223 of the Local Government Act 1972 allows local authorities to authorise officers who do not necessarily have legal qualifications (as solicitors, barristers or legal executives) to represent the Council in the Magistrates' Court.
1.2	The Section 223 power is used very widely by local authorities; in particular most district and unitary authorities have been using this power for many years to authorise recovery officers to appear in the local Magistrates' Courts in Council Tax and Business Rate enforcement cases.

2	Current position
2.1	East Suffolk Council is one of five authorities which form part of the Anglia
	Revenues Partnership. The Partnership operates under a Partnership Agreement
	approved by the council.
2.2	The officers currently listed in East Suffolk Council's Constitution as being
	authorised by East Suffolk Council to appear in the Magistrates' Court are Thereza
	Lawson, Paul Montgomery and Joanna Andrews. Paul and Joanna no longer work
	for the Council and so there names can be deleted from this list.

3	How to address current situation
3.1	Thereza (Terri) Lawson is an employee of East Suffolk Council. She is to retain her authorisation to appear in court under section 223 and represent East Suffolk Council.
3.2	Caroline Greig is an employee of East Suffolk Council. She is to be authorised to appear in the Magistrates' Court.
3.3	Gillian Juby is an employee of East Suffolk Council. She is to be authorised to appear in the Magistrates' Court.
3.4	Peter Seeley is an employee of West Suffolk Council. In accordance with Section 113 of the 1972 Act he is also being put at the disposal of East Suffolk Council, and it is therefore possible for this council to authorise him to appear in court under section 223.
3.5	Steven Oxborough is an employee of Breckland District Borough Council. In accordance with Section 113 of the 1972 Act he is also being put at the disposal of East Suffolk Council, and it is therefore possible for this council to authorise him to appear in court under section 223.
3.6	Jak Miller is employee of East Suffolk Council. He is to be authorised to appear in the Magistrates' Court.
3.7	Lucy Talbot is employee of West Suffolk Council. In accordance with Section 113 of the 1972 Act she is to obtain authorisation to appear in court under section 223 and represent East Suffolk Council.
3.8	Nigel Adams is employee of Fenland Council. In accordance with Section 113 of the 1972 Act he is to obtain his authorisation to appear in court under section 223 and represent East Suffolk Council.

3.9	Feria Siblon is employee of Breckland Council. In accordance with Section 113 of
	the 1972 Act she is to obtain her authorisation to appear in court under section
	223 and represent East Suffolk Council.
3.10	Jade Ellis is employee of West Suffolk Council. In accordance with Section 113 of
	the 1972 Act she is to obtain her authorisation to appear in court under section
	223 and represent East Suffolk Council.
3.11	Kieran Kingston-Mills is employee of West Suffolk Council. In accordance with
	Section 113 of the 1972 Act he is to obtain his authorisation to appear in court
	under section 223 and represent East Suffolk Council.
3.12	Arthur Roberts is employee of West Suffolk Council. In accordance with Section
	113 of the 1972 Act he is to obtain his authorisation to appear in court under
	section 223 and represent East Suffolk Council.
3.13	Lesley Walker is employee of Breckland Council. In accordance with Section 113 of
	the 1972 Act she is to obtain her authorisation to appear in court under section
	223 and represent East Suffolk Council.
3.14	As part of the shared services arrangements between East Suffolk Council and the
	Anglia Revenues Partnership, in order to improve the flexibility and efficiency of
	local tax enforcement, it is proposed that these officers be authorised under
	section 223 to represent this Council in the Magistrates' Court.

4 Reason/s for recommendation

4.1 To authorise Thereza (Terri) Lawson, Jak Miller, Caroline Greig, Gillian Juby, Peter Seeley, Steven Oxborough, Lucy Talbot, Nigel Adams, Feria Siblon, Jade Ellis, Kieran Kingston-Mills, Arthur Roberts and Lesley Walker to appear in the Magistrates' Court for East Suffolk Council.

Appendices

Appendices:

None.

Background reference papers:

None.