

**STRATEGIC PLANNING COMMITTEE - UPDATE SHEET**  
**4<sup>th</sup> October 2021**

**Agenda Item 5**

One additional late objection was received on 29<sup>th</sup> September 2021 outside of the consultation period. Paragraphs 6.4 and 6.5 of the report have been updated below to account for the total number of objections and any topics of concern raised in that letter.

**Third Party Representations**

6.4 Seven letters/emails were received from third party representatives. Four were objecting to the proposal, one was supportive of the proposal, and two provided questions or comments only and did not specify either support or objection.

6.5 The following topics of concern were raised:

- Significant visual impact to an existing rural landscape / loss of rural views;
- Effects on landscape character;
- Significant degradation of the value of former WW2 Parham Airfield / museum as an asset of important cultural heritage and significance;
- A major loss of some 74 ha of highly productive agricultural land;
- Planning application contains numerous errors and distortions;
- Downplaying the adverse impacts;
- Makes false claims about visual impact;
- Industrial scale power station proposed in rural landscape;
- Height of proposed solar panels;
- Assessment of local asset value is distorted and down-graded;
- Assessment of cultural heritage is distorted and down-graded;
- Incorrectly describes land as being of low ecological value;
- Visual shielding;
- Vehicular access;
- Construction / traffic / highways impacts;
- Design of proposed development;
- Scale / extent of proposed development on rural landscape, being dominating and overbearing;
- Drainage;
- Loss of views and residential amenity;
- Objection to the proposed cable route through the village;
- Significant extension to existing solar farm;
- Inverter noise;

6.6 The following topics of support were raised:

- Any disruption caused by the cable route is worth it.