Summary of issues raised and Council's response

East Suffolk Council - Suffolk Coastal Local Plan

Consultation on Additional Modifications and Policies Maps Modifications

September 2020



Consultation on proposed Main Modifications to the East Suffolk Council – Suffolk Coastal Local Plan was held between 1st May and 10th July 2020. At the same time, consultation was held on proposed Additional Modifications and proposed Modifications to the Policies Maps. The comments that were received have been summarised and a response provided by the Council. These comments are not considered by the Inspector but are considered by the Council.

Contents

Additional Modifications	1
AM26 – Paragraph 10.29	1
AM45 – Policy SCLP12.28: Strategy for Saxmundham	1
AM68 – References to the former Suffolk Coastal District Council	1
Additional Modifications - General Comments	2
Policies Maps Modifications	3
PM11 – Policy SCLP12.29 South Saxmundham Garden Neighbourhood – Inset Map	3
PM21 – Trimley St Mary and Trimley St Martin Settlement Boundary	3
PM22 – Trimley St Mary and Trimley St Martin Settlement Boundary	4
PM33 – Policy SCLP12.29 South Saxmundham Garden Neighbourhood – Policies Map	4
PM34 – Trimley St Mary and Trimley St Martin Settlement Boundary	5
PM35 – Trimley St Mary and Trimley St Martin Settlement Boundary	5
PM39 – Removal of Areas to be Protected from Development	5

Additional Modifications

AM26 – Paragraph 10.29

Summary of Issues Raised	Respondents	Council Response
The river valleys should be	Gower, Michael	The modification provides clarity in
protected in the future.	(Rep ID: 1)	relation to designated areas, as the
		river valleys and estuaries are not in
		themselves designated areas. In some
		instances they are within designated
		areas and relevant policy would apply
		in these instances.

AM45 – Policy SCLP12.28: Strategy for Saxmundham

Summary of Issues Raised	Respondents	Council Response
The result of the aged population	Gower, Michael	The comment is noted however does
is the creation of low pay, low	(Rep ID: 2)	not relate to the Additional
skilled jobs in the local care		Modification.
sector.		

AM68 – References to the former Suffolk Coastal District Council

Summary of Issues Raised	Respondents	Council Response
Consistent use of terms is	Martlesham Parish	The use of various terms in relation to
required across the Plan.	Council (Robertson,	the area covered by the Local Plan is
There is a typographical error in	Susan) (Rep ID: 8)	dependent on the context of the
paragraph 4.1 – delete 'which'		sentence or paragraph and it wouldn't
before 'makes'.		be appropriate to use the same wording
		throughout.
		The word 'which' in paragraph 4.1 will
		be deleted.

Additional Modifications - General Comments

Summary of Issues Raised	Respondents	Council Response
The Plan, including the Additional	Kirton and Trimley	The comment has also been made in
Modifications, is not sufficiently	Community Action	relation to the Main Modifications. The
flexible to adapt to rapid change.	Group (Irvine, lain)	comment is not directly related to the
There is no evidence to address	(Rep ID: 9)	Additional Modifications and no further
the economic consequences of		changes are considered necessary.
the pandemic. The East of		
England Forecasting Model is		
largely based on past economic		
performance. The figure of 6,500		
has not been amended and is at		
risk due to the increasing		
likelihood of significant job losses.		
There is a typo at paragraph	Ipswich School	This is a typographical error and will be
12.111 where Policy SCLP12.22	(Cooper, Richard)	corrected.
should read SCLP12.23.	(Rep ID: 4)	
Support the additional	Historic England	Support noted.
modifications as set out in the	(Gates, Natalie)	
Schedule of Proposed Additional	(Rep ID: 7)	
Modifications. These reflect the		
changes agreed through the		
Statement of Common Ground.		

Policies Maps Modifications

PM11 – Policy SCLP12.29 South Saxmundham Garden

Neighbourhood – Inset Map

Summary of Issues Raised	Respondents	Council Response
Objects to the modifications to	Hopkins Homes Ltd	The modifications to the Policies Map
the policy inset map (PM33) and	(Armstrong Rigg	reflect Main Modification 68, and it is
policies map (PM11), that	Planning)	noted that the respondent has
redraw the settlement boundary	(Armstrong, Geoff)	submitted comments on Main
to remove land east of the railway	(Rep ID: 1)	Modification 68.
from within the settlement		
boundary. The respondent sets		
out that their client's land is not		
available solely for open		
space/SANG. It is requested that		
their client's land be removed		
from the allocation.		

PM21 – Trimley St Mary and Trimley St Martin Settlement Boundary

Summary of Issues Raised	Respondents	Council Response
The Parish Council have not been	Trimley St Mary	The Settlement Boundary has been
consulted on the change to the	Parish Council	drawn to include the allocations.
Settlement Boundary. The change	(Cooper, Debra)	Alterations to the Settlement
is arbitrary to bring the proposed	(Rep ID: 2)	Boundary reflect the allocation of
development within the		sites.
Settlement Boundary.		

PM22 – Trimley St Mary and Trimley St Martin Settlement Boundary

Summary of Issues Raised	Respondents	Council Response
The Parish Council have not been	Trimley St Mary	The Settlement Boundary has been
consulted on the change to the	Parish Council	drawn to include the allocations.
Settlement Boundary. The change	(Cooper, Debra)	Alterations to the Settlement
is arbitrary to bring the proposed	(Rep ID: 3)	Boundary reflect the allocation of
development within the		sites.
Settlement Boundary.		

PM33 – Policy SCLP12.29 South Saxmundham Garden Neighbourhood – Policies Map

Summary of Issues Raised	Respondents	Council Response
Objects to the modifications to	Hopkins Homes Ltd	The modifications to the Policies Map
the policy inset map (PM33) and	(Armstrong Rigg	reflect Main Modification 68, and it is
policies map (PM11), that	Planning)	noted that the respondent has
redraw the settlement boundary	(Armstrong, Geoff)	submitted comments on Main
to remove land east of the railway	(Rep ID: 1)	Modification 68.
from within the settlement		
boundary. The respondent sets		
out that their client's land is not		
available solely for open		
space/SANG. It is requested that		
their client's land be removed		
from the allocation.		

PM34 – Trimley St Mary and Trimley St Martin Settlement Boundary

Summary of Issues Raised	Respondents	Council Response
The Parish Council have not been	Trimley St Mary	The Settlement Boundary has been
consulted on the change to the	Parish Council	drawn to include the allocations.
Settlement Boundary. The change	(Cooper, Debra)	Alterations to the Settlement
is arbitrary to bring the proposed	(Rep ID: 4)	Boundary reflect the allocation of
development within the		sites.
Settlement Boundary.		

PM35 – Trimley St Mary and Trimley St Martin Settlement Boundary

Summary of Issues Raised	Respondents	Council Response
The Parish Council have not been	Trimley St Mary	The Settlement Boundary has been
consulted on the change to the	Parish Council	drawn to include the allocations.
Settlement Boundary. The change	(Cooper, Debra)	Alterations to the Settlement
is arbitrary to bring the proposed	(Rep ID: 5)	Boundary reflect the allocation of
development within the		sites.
Settlement Boundary.		

PM39 - Removal of Areas to be Protected from Development

Summary of Issues Raised	Respondents	Council Response
This contravenes an agreement	Trimley St Mary	The amendment to the Policies Map
with English Heritage to protect	Parish Council	reflects Main Modification 49 which
this area from future	(Cooper, Debra)	relates to the deletion of Policy
development in exchange for the	(Rep ID: 6)	SCLP11.9 Areas to be Protected from
approval of land south of		Development. The respondent has
Thurmans Lane and Howlett Way.		submitted comments on MM49.