

East Suffolk Council

Capital Strategy 2022/23 – 2025/26

1) Introduction

- 1.1 This Capital Strategy gives a high-level overview of how capital expenditure, capital financing and treasury management activity contribute to the provision of local public services in East Suffolk, along with an overview of how associated risk is managed and the implications for future financial sustainability. It has purposely been written in an accessible style to enhance understanding of what can be very technical areas.

2) Capital Expenditure and Financing

2.1 Expenditure

- 2.1.1 Capital expenditure occurs when the Council spends money on assets such as property or vehicles, which will be used for more than one year. In local government this includes spending on assets owned by other bodies, and loans and grants to other bodies enabling them to buy assets. The Council has some limited discretion on what counts as capital expenditure, for example individual assets costing below £10,000 are not capitalised and are charged to revenue in year.

- 2.1.2 Further details on the Council's capitalisation policy can be found in the 2020/21 Statement of Accounts:

- [Note 1 \(n\)](#)

- 2.1.3 In 2022/23, East Suffolk Council is planning total capital expenditure of £69.78 million (and £333.66 million over the next four years) as summarised in Table 1 below:

Table 1: Prudential Indicator: Estimates of Capital Expenditure

	2021/22 budget	2022/23 budget	2023/24 budget	2024/25 budget	2025/26 budget
	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's
General Fund Services	16,169	45,135	68,643	79,238	47,764
Council Housing (HRA)	6,836	24,643	19,233	13,540	13,540
TOTAL	23,005	69,778	87,876	92,778	61,304

- 2.1.4 The main General Fund capital projects scheduled for 2022/23 are as follows:

- *Felixstowe North - Garden Neighbourhood Regeneration Project (£3.5 million)* - Provision within the programme to provide a new leisure centre and associated infrastructure. This project will require significant borrowing therefore a business case will be presented to Council in respect of further progression of the Leisure Centre element of the project land and prior to any further commitment in tendering for construction and entering into new borrowing for the project.
- *Felixstowe South – public realm and Martello Café (£1.5 million)* - Development of South Seafront area and Martello Café Felixstowe. The total project cost being £5.75m.
- *Lowestoft Barnards Way (£3 million)* – redevelopment of site to provide start up units.

- *Lowestoft Beach Hut Replacement (£1 million)* – phase 2, replacement of beach huts following the demolition, reconstruction of the Cliff face and installation of beach hut frame.
- *Lowestoft Flood Risk Management/Tidal Barrier (£13.91 million)* – currently the highest value scheme that the Council has with a budget allocation of £96.47 million included for Phase 1 works (Tidal Walls, Pluvial and Fluvial) and for Phase 2 works (the Tidal Gate).
- *Newcombe Road, Lowestoft – (£2.8 million)* – redevelopment of site to provide start up units to facilitate regeneration in Lowestoft.
- *Pakefield Coastal Resilience project (£1.79 million) - New accelerated project due to rapid increase of coastal erosion*
- *Railway Building, Lowestoft (£1.5 million)* – Purchase and development of building contained within the Railway site.
- *Southwold Caravan Site (£1.64 million)* – redevelopment and enhancement of the Caravan site.
- *Towns Fund (£4.94 million)* - Following a successful bid to the Government's £3.6 billion Towns Fund, Lowestoft was awarded £24.9 million to invest in the regeneration of the town, driving economic growth and acting as a catalyst for future investment. The Council has provisionally earmarked an additional £10m of East Suffolk Council funding (subject to business case) to facilitate these projects. Any additional award of external funding will reduce the Council's £10m commitment accordingly.
- *Thorpeness flood defence (£3.3 million)* - Strengthen the soft bag defences installed in 2010/11 that were damaged by unusually high erosion pressure in 2013.

2.1.4 The Housing Revenue Account (HRA) is a ring-fenced account which ensures that the Council's housing does not subsidise, or is itself subsidised, by other local services. HRA capital expenditure is therefore recorded separately.

2.1.5 Capital investments include loans and shares made for service purposes and property to be held primarily for financial return in line with the definition in the CIPFA Treasury Management Code.

2.2 Governance

2.2.1 The evaluation, prioritisation, and acceptance of capital schemes onto the Capital Programme is carried out in accordance with strict criteria that ensures that new schemes reflect Council priorities and can be delivered within available resources (e.g., due priority is given to schemes yielding savings and/or generating income as well as meeting a Council priority). Proposals are shaped by senior managers in consultation with councillors and considered at the Head of Service budget meetings (in October/November each year) which also includes the Strategic Director responsible for the service area, the Chief Finance Officer (CFO) and relevant members of the finance team. The Head of Housing budget meeting also considers the HRA capital programme.

2.2.2 The draft Capital Programme is then subjected to formal Scrutiny prior to setting the budget followed by Full Council approval).

2.3 Financing

2.3.1 All capital expenditure must be financed, either from external sources (Government grants and other contributions), the Council's own resources (revenue, reserves, and capital receipts) or debt (borrowing and leasing). The planned financing of the above expenditure is presented in Table 2 below.

Table 2: Capital Financing

	2021/22 budget	2022/23 budget	2023/24 budget	2024/25 budget	2025/26 budget
	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's
External sources (Grants)	5,966	25,698	37,598	50,238	45,134
Revenue resources	11,640	26,475	20,228	13,340	13,470
Debt	5,399	17,605	30,050	29,200	2,700
TOTAL	23,005	69,778	87,876	92,778	61,304

- 2.3.2 Debt is only a temporary source of finance, since loans and leases must be repaid, and this is therefore replaced over time by other financing, usually from revenue which is known as “Minimum Revenue Provision” (MRP). Alternatively, proceeds from selling capital assets (known as capital receipts) may be used to replace debt finance. Planned MRP and use of capital receipts are presented in Table 3 below.

Table 3: Replacement of prior years' Debt Finance

	2021/22 Actual	2022/23 budget	2023/24 budget	2024/25 budget	2025/26 budget
	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's
Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP)	1,058	1,264	1,735	2,452	2,573
Capital Receipt (HRA)	1,438	6,292	8,065	2,450	2,450

- 2.3.3 The Council's annual MRP statement can be found at Annex A below.
- 2.3.4 The Council's cumulative outstanding amount of debt finance is measured by the Capital Financing Requirement (CFR). This increases with new debt-financed capital expenditure and reduces with MRP. The CFR is expected to increase by £71.53 million between 2021/22 and 2025/26 which is due to capital projects being financed through borrowing. Based on the above figures for expenditure and financing, the Council's estimated CFR is presented in Table 4 below.

Table 4: Prudential Indicator: Estimates of Capital Financing Requirement (CFR)

	2021/22 budget	2022/23 budget	2023/24 budget	2024/25 budget	2025/26 budget
	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's
General Fund services CFR	61,392	77,733	106,048	132,796	132,923
Council housing (HRA) CFR	67,210	67,210	67,210	67,210	67,210
TOTAL CFR	128,602	144,943	173,258	200,006	200,133

3) Asset Management

3.1 Asset Management Strategy

- 3.1.1 The Council recognises the importance of ensuring that capital assets continue to be of long-term use especially against a rapidly changing operational and technological backdrop. Enhancing the management of the Council's existing asset base and looking beyond the traditional medium-term

financial planning horizon is a major priority. An updated Asset Management Strategy (AMS) was approved in July 2019, broken down into four key components:

- Administrative Improvements.
- Compliance and Sustainability.
- A strategic approach to assets; and
- Reducing expenditure and increasing income.

The AMS takes a longer-term view comprising:

- 'Good' information about existing assets.
- The optimal asset base for the efficient delivery of Council objectives.
- The gap between existing assets and optimal assets.
- Strategies for purchasing and constructing new assets, investment in existing assets, transferring of assets to other organisations and the disposal of surplus assets; and
- Plans for individual assets.

3.2 Asset Disposals

3.2.1 When a capital asset is no longer needed, it may be sold so that the proceeds - known as capital receipts - can be spent on new assets or to repay debt. Repayments of loans and investments also generate capital receipts. Table 5 below summarises the overall budget projections for capital receipts.

Table 5: Capital Receipts

	2020/21 Actual	2021/22 Budget	2022/23 Budget	2023/24 Budget	2024/25 Budget	2025/26 Budget
	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's
General Fund Asset sales	-58	0	0	0	0	0
HRA Asset Sales	-1,545	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	-1,603	-1,000	0	0	0	0
General Fund Loans repaid	160	680	160	1,200	160	160
HRA Loans repaid	0	10,766	0	960	0	0
TOTAL	160	11,446	160	2,160	160	160

3.2.2 The Council operates a deliberately prudent policy of not assuming future capital receipts within its general fund capital income projections. The most significant capital receipt likely to be received during the timescale of this Strategy relates to the disposal of the former headquarters of Suffolk Coastal District Council at Melton Hill, Woodbridge and the value of capital receipts assumed within the Capital Programme will be updated to reflect this when they are realised. The redevelopment of the Jubilee Beach huts in Lowestoft will also attract a capital receipt when the beach huts are sold and revenue income on the allocation that the Council will hold for rental opportunity. The allocation of sales and rental will be determined upon completion of the project to allow for flexibility in market take up.

4) Treasury Management

4.1 Introduction

- 4.1.1 Treasury management is concerned with keeping sufficient but not excessive cash available to meet the Council's spending needs, while managing the risks involved. Surplus cash is invested until required, while a shortage of cash will be met by borrowing, to avoid excessive credit balances or overdrafts in the bank current account. The Council is typically cash rich in the short-term as revenue income is received before it is spent, but cash poor in the long-term as capital expenditure is incurred before being financed. The revenue cash surpluses are offset against capital cash shortfalls to reduce overall borrowing.
- 4.1.2 Due to decisions taken in the past, the Council currently (30th November 2021) has borrowing of £77.09 million at an average interest rate of 4.39% and £143 million in treasury investments at an average consolidated rate of 0.78%.

4.2 Borrowing

- 4.2.1 The Council's main objective when borrowing is to achieve a low but certain cost of finance while retaining flexibility should plans change in the future. These objectives are often conflicting, and the Council therefore seeks to strike a balance between cheap short-term loans (currently available at around 0.25%) and long-term fixed rate loans where the future cost is likely to be higher than the current 2.50%.
- 4.2.2 Projected levels of the Council's total outstanding debt (which comprises borrowing, leases and transferred debt) are shown below in Table 6, compared with the Capital Financing Requirement (Table 4 above).

Table 6: Prudential Indicator: Gross Debt and the Capital Financing Requirement

	2021/22 budget	2022/23 budget	2023/24 budget	2024/25 budget	2025/26 budget
	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's
Debt (incl. leases)	76,985	94,108	121,654	150,284	152,470
Capital Financing Requirement	128,602	144,943	173,258	200,006	200,133

- 4.2.3 Statutory guidance is that debt should remain below the Capital Financing Requirement, except in the short-term. As can be seen from Table 6, the Council expects to comply with this in the medium term.

Liability Benchmark

- 4.2.4 To compare the Council's actual borrowing against an alternative strategy, a liability benchmark has been calculated showing the minimum amount of borrowing required to keep investments at minimum liquidity level. This assumes that cash and investment balances are kept to a minimum level of £10 million at each year-end. The Liability Benchmark shows that based on the current capital plans there is no requirement to borrow in 2021/22 and 2022/23, however the Council will need to borrow in 2023/24 to 2025/26 due to the reduction in financial resources available.

Table 7: Borrowing and the Liability Benchmark

	2021/22 forecast	2022/23 forecast	2023/24 forecast	2024/25 forecast	2025/26 forecast
	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's
Outstanding Borrowing	65,807	65,647	63,487	63,286	63,167
Planned CFR Borrowing	4,341	16,341	28,315	26,748	127
Total Borrowing Requirement	70,148	82,078	91,802	90,034	63,294
Liability Benchmark	-46,053	-24,122	6,243	31,691	31,818

Affordable Borrowing Limit

- 4.2.6 The Council is legally obliged to set an affordable borrowing limit (also termed the “Authorised Limit” for external debt) each year. In line with statutory guidance, a lower “Operational Boundary” is also sets as a warning level should debt approach the limit.

Table 8: Prudential Indicators: Authorised Limit and Operational Boundary for External Debt

	2021/22 limit	2022/23 limit	2023/24 limit	2024/25 limit	2025/26 limit
	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's
Authorised limit – borrowing	148,380	148,380	148,380	148,380	148,380
Authorised limit – leases	6,620	6,620	6,620	6,620	6,620
Authorised limit – total external debt	155,000	155,000	155,000	155,000	155,000
Operational boundary – borrowing	146,380	146,380	146,380	146,380	146,380
Operational boundary – leases	6,620	6,620	6,620	6,620	6,620
Operational boundary – total external debt	153,000	153,000	153,000	153,000	153,000

- 4.2.7 Further details on borrowing are contained in the [Treasury Management Strategy](#)

4.3 Investments

- 4.3.1 Treasury investments arise from receiving cash before it is paid out again. Investments made for service reasons or for pure financial gain are not generally considered to be part of treasury management.

(Treasury Management) Investment Strategy

- 4.3.2 The Council’s [Investment Strategy](#) is to prioritise security and liquidity over yield; focussing on minimising risk rather than maximising returns. Cash that is likely to be spent in the near term is invested securely, for example with other local authorities or selected high-quality banks, to minimise the risk of loss.

- 4.3.3 Table 9 below summarises the Council’s current and forecast treasury investments.

Table 9: Treasury Management Investments

	2021/22 current	2022/23 forecast	2023/24 forecast	2024/25 forecast	2025/26 forecast
	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's
Near-term investments	75,000	75,000	70,000	60,000	50,000
Longer-term investments	40,000	25,000	20,000	20,000	20,000
TOTAL	115,000	100,000	90,000	80,000	70,000

4.4 Risk Management

- 4.4.1 The effective management and control of risk are prime objectives of the Authority's treasury management activities. The treasury management strategy therefore sets out various indicators and limits to constrain the risk of unexpected losses and details the extent to which financial derivatives may be used to manage treasury risks.

4.5 Governance

- 4.5.1 Treasury management decisions are made daily and are therefore delegated to the CFO, who must act in line with the Treasury Management Strategy approved by the Council. Annual outturn reports on treasury management are also approved by the Council (following recommendation from Audit and Governance Committee), whereas mid-year updates are reported exclusively to the Audit and Governance Committee.

5) Investments for Service Purposes

- 5.1 As published in the Councils Statement of Accounts 2020/21 at 31st March 2021, the Council held net investments as follows:
- Suffolk Coastal Norse Limited - the Council has held a 20% equity share since April 2009. The Council's share of Net Assets / (Liabilities) at 31st March 2020 was (£587,000); and
 - Waveney Norse Limited – the Council has held a 19.9% equity share since April 2008. The Council's share of Net Assets / (Liabilities) at 31st March 2020 was £500,000.

Governance

- 5.3 Decisions on service investments are made by the Council's Cabinet and require the support of a full business case. The Council is also represented on the boards of both Norse joint venture companies.

6) Other Liabilities

6.1.1 Outstanding Commitments

- 6.1.2 The Council also has the following outstanding commitments:

- A commitment to achieve a fully funded position on the Pension Fund (over a 20-year period from 2013 to 2033). The deficit was valued at £84.28 million as at 31st March 2021, from 2020/21 the deficit payment was incorporated into the primary employers' pension contribution rate rather than an annual lump sum payment; and
- The Council has also set aside £13.24 million (as at 31st March 2021) to cover the financial risk associated with Business Rates appeals lodged with the Valuation Office Agency (VOA).

6.2 Guarantees

- 6.2.1 The Council became "self-financing" in respect of its retained housing stock (in the former Waveney district) from April 2012. The self-financing regime applied to all authorities and replaced the former housing subsidy system whereby the Council made annual subsidy payments to the Government funded from its HRA. Its introduction entailed a one-off redistribution of 'debt' between local authorities, and locally this resulted in the Council taking on PWLB loans, which it is required to service (instead of making housing subsidy payments).

- 6.2.2 A 30-year Business Plan for the Council's HRA has been developed, which is currently generating sufficient rental income each year to run an efficient and effective housing management service, whilst at the same time servicing the outstanding debt (which is scheduled for repayment in full by March 2042 i.e., within the 30-year timeframe). However, if the HRA is unable to repay the outstanding debt at any point in the future, the Council (through its General Fund) is liable to repay any remaining balance. The remaining balance on HRA debt as at 31st March 2021 was £71 million.

6.3 Governance

- 6.3.1 Decisions on incurring new discretionary liabilities are taken by Directors and Heads of Service in consultation with the CFO. For example, in accordance with the Financial Procedure Rules (Part 3 of the Constitution, Paragraph 2.1.25), credit arrangements – such as leasing agreements – cannot be entered into without the prior approval of the CFO.

7) Revenue Implications

7.1 Financing Cost

- 7.1.1 Although capital expenditure is not charged directly to the revenue budget, interest payable on loans and MRP are charged to revenue, offset by any investment income receivable. The net annual charge is known as financing costs; this is compared to the net revenue stream i.e., the amount funded from Council Tax, Business Rates, and general Government grants.

Table 10: Prudential Indicator: Proportion of Financing Costs to Net Revenue Stream (General Fund)

	2021/22 budget	2022/23 budget	2023/24 budget	2024/25 budget	2025/26 budget
	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's
Financing Costs (£m)	838	1,005	1,395	2,069	2,190
Proportion of Net Revenue Stream	2.88%	3.54%	4.77%	6.87%	7.27%

Table 11: Prudential Indicator: Proportion of Financing Costs to Net Revenue Stream (HRA)

	2021/22 budget	2022/23 budget	2023/24 budget	2024/25 budget	2025/26 budget
	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's
Financing Costs (£m)	4,312	7,917	5,739	7,125	7,125
Proportion of Net Revenue Stream	20.25%	36.52%	25.85%	31.30%	31.30%

- 7.1.2 Due to the very long-term nature of capital expenditure and financing, the revenue budget implications of expenditure incurred in the next few years will extend for many [occasionally up to 50] years into the future.

7.2 "Prudence, Affordability and Sustainability"

- 7.2.1 The CFO is satisfied that the proposed Capital Programme (Section 2) is prudent, affordable, and sustainable based on the following:

Prudence

- Prudential indicators 10 and 11 presented above (Paragraph 8.1.1) are within expected and controllable parameters. Thus:

- *Prudential Indicator 10 (General Fund) - Proportion of Financing Costs to Net Revenue Stream* – the growth in financing costs reflects the Council’s ambitions for capital investment in its strategic priorities over the medium-term.
- *Prudential Indicator 11 (HRA) - Proportion of Financing Costs to Net Revenue Stream* – the indicator profile mirrors the HRA 30-Year Business Plan, which is a fully-costed strategy that will see all outstanding debt repaid by 2042/43.
- *Underlying Prudent Assumptions* – a prudent set of assumptions have been used in formulating the Capital Programme. This is illustrated in the approach to capital receipts whereby the proceeds are not assumed within projections until the associated sale is completed and the money received by the Council; and
- *Repairs and Maintenance* – the approach to asset maintenance is professionally guided with assets maintained in a condition commensurate with usage and expected life, addressing those items that could affect ongoing and future maintenance, in the most appropriate and cost-effective manner.

Affordability

- The estimated general fund ‘revenue consequences’ of the Capital Programme (£6.659 million over four years) have been included in the draft 2022/23 Budget and Medium-Term Financial Strategy (MTFS), extending to 2025/26; and
- The MTFS is underpinned by a Reserves Strategy, which includes contingency funds in the event that projections are not as expected (further supported by CFO report to Council under Section 25 of the Local Government Act 2003 on the robustness of estimates and the adequacy of financial reserves and balances).

Sustainability

- Capital schemes that are expected to deliver long-term revenue savings and regenerate the area are given due priority. For example, the Lowestoft Tidal Barrier (unlocking brownfield development sites and providing a boost to future income from Business Rates and Council Tax), the Towns Fund Project which will look to regenerate Lowestoft Town Centre and seek to attract external interest and investment in the Town.
- As explained in Section 3.1 above, the Asset Management Strategy represents an enhancement to the Council approach to asset planning through (especially) taking a longer-term view. This includes providing for future operational need, balancing the requirement to achieve optimal performance, whilst taking account of technological change and managing the risk of obsolescence.

8) Knowledge and Skills

8.1 Officers

- 8.1.1 The Council employs professionally qualified and experienced staff in senior positions with responsibility for making capital expenditure, borrowing and investment decisions. Most notably:
- *Finance* - the Chief Finance Officer (CFO) is a qualified (CIPFA) accountant with many years of experience. The Council sponsors junior staff to study for relevant professional qualifications including AAT, CIPFA and ACCA. The Council also pays for (and ensures attendance on) training courses and conferences across all aspects of accounting, including (especially) Treasury Management to keep professional client status under “MIFID II” (the “Markets in Financial Instruments Directive”, incorporated into UK law in November 2017); and

- *Property* – the Asset and Investment Manager (AIM) – a qualified (MRICS) surveyor, with many years of experience – is responsible for Asset Management within the Council. The Asset Management service is well resourced and comprises the Estates Management, Building Services and Development functions of the Council. Each function is headed by an appropriately qualified professional within their individual specialism (e.g., the Building Services team is led by Member of the Chartered Institute of Builders). As with Finance, the Council is strongly committed to supporting both professional and wider staff development within its Asset Management function, with the number of qualified RICS surveyors continuing to increase in recent years. The AIM will also play a key role in the Council’s approach to commercial investment and trading (highlighted above in Section 6).

8.1.2 The Council also has a separate Housing team that is responsible for overseeing social housing developments within the district.

8.2 External Advisors

8.2.1 Where the Council does not have the relevant knowledge and skills required, judicious use is made of external advisers and consultants that are experts/specialists in their field. The Council currently employs Arlingclose Limited as Treasury Management advisers, and the Asset Management team will appoint property advisors (e.g., development managers, valuers etc.) to support their work where required. The approach is more cost effective than employing such staff directly and ensures that the Council has access to knowledge and skills commensurate with risk.

8.3 Councillors

8.3.1 Specifically with regard to Treasury Management, the Council acknowledges the importance of ensuring that members have appropriate capacity, skills, and information to effectively undertake their role. To this end, newly elected East Suffolk councillors with Treasury Management responsibilities will receive tailored training sessions from the Council’s Treasury Management advisers (Arlingclose), and regular refresher sessions will also be undertaken for the Audit and Governance Committee.

9) CFO Statement on the Capital Strategy

9.1 Prudential Code

9.1.1 Paragraph 24 of the Prudential Code determines that....” the Chief Finance Officer should report explicitly on the affordability and risk associated with the Capital Strategy”.

9.1.2 Accordingly, it is the opinion of the CFO that the Capital Strategy as presented is affordable, and associated risks have been identified and are adequately managed.

9.2 Affordability

9.2.1 The Capital Strategy is affordable and there is a range of evidence to support this assertion, including:

- *Capital Programme* – the Programme as presented above (in Section 2.1) is supported by a robust and resilient MTFS extending through until 2025/26 that contains adequate revenue provision, including sufficient reserves in the event that plans and assumptions do not materialise as expected.
- *Asset Management* – as presented above (in Section 3.1) the Asset Management Strategy is taking a strategic longer-term (i.e., beyond 2024/25) view of the Council’s asset base. A

fundamental aim of the Strategy is to achieve the optimum balance between future operational need and affordability, which is reflected in its component parts including strategies for purchasing and constructing new assets, investment in existing assets, transferring of assets to other organisations and the disposal of surplus assets; and

9.3 Risk

9.3.1 The risk associated with the Capital Strategy has been identified and is being adequately managed. Evidence to support this assertion includes:

- *Treasury Management Strategy* – the Council is in the process of formally approving its Treasury Management Strategy for 2022/23 in accordance with CIPFA's "Treasury Management in the Public Services: Code of Practice 2017". That Strategy was developed by the Council's (professionally qualified and experienced) Finance team and informed by specialist advisors Arlingclose and other relevant and extant professional guidance.
- *Investment Strategy* – the Council is also formally approving an Investment Strategy for 2022/23 in accordance with MHCLG's "Statutory Guidance on Local Government Investments (3rd Edition) 2018". As with the Treasury Management Strategy, the Investment Strategy was developed by the Finance team and informed by specialist advisors Arlingclose and other relevant and extant professional guidance; and

9.3.2 In addition, the CFO is satisfied that there are no major omissions – in terms of financial liabilities – from the Capital Programme in the medium-term.

9.4 Capital Strategy Updates

9.4.1 The Capital Strategy is a 'living document' and will be periodically – usually annually – updated to reflect changing local circumstances and other significant developments.

9.4.2 Prudential Code update: In February 2021 CIPFA consulted on a revised Prudential Code guidance with consultation closing in April 2021. More than 100 responses from Local Authorities and their representative Treasury Management Advisors were received with a summary of the consultation and proposals published in July 2021. A second technical consultation on the proposals opened in September 2021 and closed in November 2021 with publication of the second consultation in December 2021.

The primary changes to the Prudential code focus on:

- Local Authorities must not borrow to invest for the primary purpose of commercial return
- prudence – investment/spending decisions that increase the capital financing requirement (CFR) unless directly or primarily related to the functions of the authority will be viewed as not being prudent.
- sale of commercial investments to be considered as an alternative to new borrowing for service purposes
- Prudential Indicators to be monitored and reported to members at least quarterly as part of regular budget monitoring
- new prudential indicators will be required to show income from commercial and service investments to net revenue stream.

9.4.3 With many Capital and Treasury Management strategies being written and approved by Councils the revised Prudential Code requirements will be implemented as part of a "soft launch" and expected to take effect in 2023/24 strategies.

Annual Minimum Revenue Provision Strategy

1. Where the Council finances capital expenditure by debt, it must put aside resources to repay that debt in later years. The amount charged to the revenue budget for the repayment of debt is known as Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP), although there has been no statutory minimum since 2008. The Local Government Act 2003 requires the Council to have regard to the Ministry for Housing, Communities and Local Government's Guidance on Minimum Revenue Provision.
2. The broad aim of the Guidance is to ensure that debt is repaid over a period that is either reasonably commensurate with that over which the capital expenditure provides benefits, or, in the case of borrowing supported by Government Revenue Support Grant, reasonably commensurate with the period implicit in the determination of that grant.
3. The Guidance requires the Council to approve an Annual MRP Statement each year and recommends several options for calculating a prudent amount of MRP. The following statement incorporates options recommended in the Guidance as well as locally determined prudent methods.
4. For capital expenditure incurred after 31st March 2008, MRP will be determined by charging the expenditure over the expected useful life of the relevant asset as the principal repayment on an annuity with an annual interest rate equal to the average relevant Public Works Loan Board rate for the year of expenditure, starting in the year after the asset becomes operational. MRP on purchases of freehold land will be charged over 50 years. MRP on expenditure not related to fixed assets but which has been capitalised by regulation or direction will be charged over 20 years.
5. Capital expenditure incurred during 2022/23 will not be subject to a charge until 2023/24.