

## **Southwold Harbour Management Committee**

# Members are invited to a **Meeting of the Southwold Harbour Management Committee**

to be held in the Stella Peskett Millennium Hall, on **Thursday**, **14 March 2024** at **4.00pm** 

#### Members:

Councillor David Beavan (Chair), Councillor Paul Ashton (Vice-Chair), Councillor Jan Candy, Mr Simon Flunder, Mr David Gledhill, Councillor Toby Hammond, Mr Alistair MacFarlane, Mr John Ogden, Ms Diane Perry-Yates, Mr Mike Pickles, Councillor Lee Reeves.

An Agenda is set out below.

#### Part One - Open to the Public

**Pages** 

#### 1 Apologies for Absence

To receive apologies for absence, if any.

#### 2 Declarations of Interest

Members and Officers are invited to make any declarations of interests, and the nature of that interest, that they may have in relation to items on the Agenda and are also reminded to make any declarations at any stage during the Meeting if it becomes apparent that this may be required when a particular item or issue is considered.

3 Minutes 1-8

To agree the minutes of the meeting held on 18 January 2024

#### 4 Operational Update

To receive a verbal update from the Southwold Caravan and Harbour Manager.

#### 5 Harbour and Caravan Site Consultation Plan

To receive a presentation on the Harbour and Caravan Site Consultation Plan.

#### 6 2024 Capital Works Projects Overview ES/1893

9 - 14

**15 - 16** 

To consider the 2024 Capital Works Projects Overview

#### 7 Update from the Stakeholder Advisory Group

To receive an update from the Stakeholder Advisory Group.

#### 8 Work Programme

To consider the Southwold Harbour Management Committee's forward work programme.

#### 9 Dates of the next meetings

To note the dates of the next meetings as the

- 9 May 2024
- 11 July 2024
- 12 September 2024
- 14 November 2024
- 9 January 2025
- 13 March 2025
- 8 May 2025

#### Part Two - Exempt/Confidential

**Pages** 

There are no Exempt or Confidential items for this Agenda.

Close

Chris Bally, Chief Executive

If you require this document in large print, audio or Braille or in a different language, please contact the Democratic Services Team on 01502 523521 or email: democraticservices@eastsuffolk.gov.uk

#### Filming, Videoing, Photography and Audio Recording at Council Meetings

The Council, members of the public and press may record / film / photograph or broadcast this meeting when the public and press are not lawfully excluded.

The Council cannot guarantee public seating areas will not be filmed or recorded. By entering the Conference Room and sitting in the public seating area, those present will be deemed to have consented to the possible use of filmed images and sound recordings. If you do not wish to be recorded, please speak to a member of the Democratic Services team at the earliest opportunity.





The national Charter and Charter Plus
Awards for Elected Member Development

East Suffolk Council is committed to achieving excellence in elected member development

www.local.gov.uk/Community-Leadership

#### **Unconfirmed**



Minutes of a Meeting of the **Southwold Harbour Management Committee** held in the Stella Peskett Millennium Hall, on **Thursday, 18 January 2024** at **3:00 PM** 

#### Members of the Committee present:

Councillor Paul Ashton, Councillor David Beavan, Councillor Jan Candy, Mr Simon Flunder, Mr John Ogden, Ms Diane Perry-Yates, Councillor Lee Reeves

**Officers present:** Kate Blakemore (Strategic Director), Kerry Blair (Head of Operations), James Milnes (Southwold Caravan / Harbour Manager), Alli Stone (Democratic Services Officer)

#### 1 Apologies for Absence

Apologies for absence were received from Mike Pickles, David Gledhill and Cllr Toby Hammond.

#### 2 Declarations of Interest

Mr Simon Flunder and Ms Diane Perry Yates declared a Disclosable Pecuniary interest in items six and seven of the agenda. The Chair confirmed they had received dispensation from the Monitoring Officer to stay and vote on this item.

#### 3 Minutes

The minutes of the meeting held on the 9th November 2023 were agreed as a correct record.

#### 4 Operational Update

The Southwold Caravan and Harbour Manager gave an update on operations in the harbour. There had been around seven hundred visitors this year and overseas visitors were increasing with a significant number of bookings from the Netherlands.

There had been flooding on the marshes over the last few months and the sluices at the west end had been checked to ensure they were working. Issues with drainage were being discussed although this was complicated by the number of people who had responsibilities in this area. There may be an issue with a blockage further into the marshes which was also being checked to see what impact it had. Sewage management

was an ongoing issue, solutions were being looked at and work needed to be done understand the scale of the issue.

The last remaining fire damage had been cleared and area was ready to be redeveloped. A fire gap had been left and it was being watched to ensure it was maintained.

Winter works had been carried out on the caravan and campsite. One caravan had been lost due to high winds but this would be replaced by the owners. Work was ongoing to pull together improvements, including upgrading the boiler and improving the look of the site ready for the new season. It was hoped that this would be completed by the start of the new season, but any delays would be communicated.

A member of the public asked whether there was any reason why the sluice could not open on a normal tide rather than the 0.6m it currently opens at. The Southwold Caravan and Harbour Manager confirmed that he had been looking into this, he believed the current height was due to sea level rise and he was looking at options for valves or other works to aid drainage. Some of the flooding on the road was not due to the sluice but to blockages in other areas, and the Town Council was discussing options with the tenant farmer to clear this in the short term. The Chair confirmed the sluice did not close fully, and options for pumping were being looked at to help drainage in the longer term across the wider area.

#### 5 Southwold Harbour Vision

The Committee received report ES/1823 which gave an overview of the potential vision for

Southwold Harbour over a 10-year period to 2035. The Head of Operations introduced the report and stated that this had been produced following continued feedback from the Committee and the Stakeholder Advisory Group about the need for some context for development, especially in the long term. The Head of Operations asked the Committee to feedback on whether they felt this was right and reflected what people in Southwold wanted the Harbour to be.

The Southwold Harbour, Caravan and Campsite Manager gave a presentation outlining the proposed vision. There was a need to tie the heritage of the Harbour to its future to ensure a good legacy was left for the next generation of users and visitors. The Harbour also needed to be economically robust by 2035.

The Southwold Harbour, Caravan and Campsite Manager summarised the key areas of the vision. First there was a need to enhance facilities with a respect for the heritage and the historic identity of the Harbour. There was a need to refurbish the Harbour Masters Office to provide facilities for visitors, as well as installing new pontoons and berths, introducing mains sewage, advancing work on the South Training Arm. Any work needed to enhance the current structures and facilities would need to be inkeeping with the area. The Harbour also needed to be enhanced so that all visitors could enjoy it. Pathways needed to be accessible and easily navigable, with facilities that were easy to use, ensuring signage and information was clear.

There was also a need to strengthen the revenue streams in the Harbour to ensure sustainable growth. Diversity in income streams would also ensure the Harbour was resilient to market shifts. Operations processes in the Harbour would be streamlined to ensure they were as efficient as possible and investment would be planned to provide long term benefits to all users.

Community spirit needed to be fostered between the Harbour and other areas of the town. This would include developing the tourism offer which celebrated the Harbour and holding events which complemented those in the town. The Harbour would support local business growth and provide a place to encourage local entrepreneurs alongside successful existing businesses.

Key to achieving this vision would be an engaged stakeholder community, including the Harbour Management Committee and the Stakeholder Advisory Group, but also the wider town and the local community so that the Committee could make the best informed decisions. Decision making would be as transparent as possible and with all stakeholders receiving accurate information.

The Southwold Harbour, Caravan and Campsite Manager stated that work would be phased, with each stage of implementation having clear objectives and outcomes. Work would be scheduled to ensure that the Harbour would be operational at all times. Regulatory standards had to be rigorously adhered to and would be central to operations to ensure that the Harbour was safe and sustainable. There was also a need to ensure the protection of the natural environment, and enhancement of the habitats around the Harbour.

The Southwold Harbour, Caravan and Campsite Manager stated he was also looking at how Southwold Harbour could become a Heritage Harbour, which would enable Southwold to access additional funding and support to enhance facilities and the tourism offer. This plan would drive Southwold Harbour into the future with everyone involved in the process.

The Chair stated that there would be a consultation on this, which would be open for a few months so that everyone had the opportunity to provide feedback.

Councillor Candy asked whether the plans for facilities improvements included showers and laundry. The Southwold Harbour, Caravan and Campsite Manager confirmed this is what they would like to do but this was complicated by the lack of sewage/dirty water management. Once the sewage issues had been scoped then this would be easier to plan.

The Southwold Harbour, Caravan and Campsite Manager confirmed that there was some budget for improvements in the capital budget. This had been split into £150,000 for pontoons, £300,000 for general harbour works and £1.5million for the caravan site. Even though budget had been allocated the improvements would need to be fully scoped and approved.

Ms Perry-Yates how much of the budget was reliant on income from the caravan and campsite. The Southwold Harbour, Caravan and Campsite Manager stated that the caravan and campsite was there to provide income to the harbour. It currently

generated the majority of the harbour income and would be key to creating additional income for the future. The Head of Operations added that that the harbour had to be self sustaining. The Medium Term Financial Strategy as it currently stood showed a small loss and then modest profits for the following years. There would need to be some decisions made about priorities for work and how to increase income. All decisions had to recognise that the operation at the moment barely broke even, and if works had to be done in the harbour then income had to be generated to fund this. There was capital funding for some work such as moorings, what work was done beyond this would depend on the outcome of the consultation.

Mr Flunder asked who was considered to be part of the community for the consultation, and that it should be open to Reydon as well as Southwold, and people in the surrounding areas who worked in the harbour. The Chair confirmed that consultation would include neighbouring parishes.

Councillor Ashton stated that there could be more awareness of the harbour among local visitors, and a consultation could help promote the existence of the harbour.

Mr Flunder stated there was a need for clear priorities and a timescale to help people understand what was being proposed. The Southwold Harbour, Caravan and Campsite Manager stated he did not want to prioritise works and pre-empt consultation. The Chair agreed that consultation on what people wanted from the harbour should be done first, then the detail of how this was bought about should be considered.

The Chair stated that additional work was also needed to advance the project for the South Training Arm to provide a detailed design which would include full detail of the effects of openings in the structure. This would then enable funding opportunities to be looked at. The Head of Operation stated that money was in the budget to do this, and agreed that a detailed design was needed to answer how the structure should look.

On the proposal of Councillor Reeves, seconded by Councillor Candy it it was by a unanimous agreement,

#### **RESOLVED**

That having reviewed the report and background document at Appendix A consideration is given to supporting the concept of the Harbour 2035 vision and developing a broad stakeholder consultation.

#### 6 Draft Budget 2024/2025

The Committee received report **ES/1821**, which presented the the draft Budget for 2024/25 for recommendation to the Cabinet.

The Head of Operations introduced the report and summarised the sections which were included in the budget. Income for the next few years was calculated based on the current situation, not based on any changes that might happen which would boost income. Staff costs had increased, this was due to investment in new staff, the provision of additional capacity through the asset management team to enable

projects to be delivered, and inflation in wages. The capital programme contained £2million for investment, the bulk of which was allocated to the caravan and campsite. The end of the year situation was better than forecast, and this was due to the prudent way in which the Council forecasted. This may happen again in following years, but at present the budget showed a loss for 24/25 and then a small profit in the following years. This would be reforecast in September to take into account any changes.

Councillor Candy stated that employee expenses seem to be going down for 24/25, and asked why this was. The Head of Operations stated he did not have details of what this line included and that he would discuss with finance to provide an answer.

Ms Perry-Yates stated that the budget was flatlining apart from staffing and recharges, and asked what the reason was for this. The Head of Operations stated that everything was based on the current situation. At the point at which projects started, or new leases were signed this could be taken into account. Ms Perry-Yates noted that if spaces on the caravan site had been filled this would be a much better situation, with £75,000 extra income. The Head of Operations stated that the decision not to fill plots was based on the need for space for future redevelopment, but the vacant period was much longer than expected. This was a shorter term loss with the view to longer term increase in income, although the shorter term had been longer than hoped.

Mr Ogden stated that touring income seemed quite conservative when compared to actual income. The Head of Operations stated that there was a metric for calculating this income, and it was based on an average of a period of years rather than just the previous year.

Councillor Ashton stated that there were costs for increased staff, and costs for the plans the Harbour had. Councillor Ashton noted that most people were used to accounts looking at the most likely scenario rather than a pessimistic scenario shown in local authority accounts, and people needed to be mindful of this when considering the accounts and budget.

It was by a unanimous vote,

#### **RESOLVED**

That having reviewed and commented upon the draft 2024/25 Budget for the Harbour Account (Appendix B), The Southwold Harbour Management Committee recommended it to Cabinet.

#### 7 Quarter 3 Budget Monitoring Report 2023/24

The Committee received report ES/1822 which provided the the quarter 3 (Q3) overview of financial performance against approved budgets for 2023/24.

The Head of Operations summarised the report. Both Harbour and Caravan and Campsite were significantly ahead of budget, at a total income of £675,000 as opposed to the £601,000 which was forecasted. This had been offset by higher than expected

inflation and staff costs which had increased expenses. It was expected that by year end there would be a £2,000 deficit variance against budget.

It was by a unanimous vote,

#### **RESOLVED**

That having reviewed the Q3 Budget Monitoring Report 2023/24, the Committee report this to Cabinet.

#### 8 Southwold Static Caravan Site Redevelopment

The Southwold Harbour, Caravan and Campsite Manager gave a presentation outlining the Southwold Static Caravan Site redevelopment project, and the options which would be explored in consultation.

The Caravan and Campsite was the heart of the eastern end of the Harbour and had been created to provide an opportunity to feed income into the Harbour to generate funds for its upkeep. There was now an opportunity to develop the site and ensure it could continue to welcome visitors and provide for the Harbour's future.

The Caravan and Campsite was an asset that needed to be looked after. Any changes needed to take into account the communities around the site, both in the present and the future. Decisions needed to be made based on the best interests of the Harbour as a whole, to ensure the best long-term decisions were made.

The Southwold Harbour, Caravan and Campsite Manager summarised the four operational models for the redevelopment. The first was a full leasing model, with all plots being let out on a long term lease. The second was a flexible leasing model, with a mix of long and short term leases. The third was mixed leasing and renting where certain plots would be leased and others offered for rent, this would also allow all leasers able to put their sites up for rent. The last was a renting model where all plots would be available for short term lease. Generally the first model was simpler with lower income, the last was more complicated to administer but with more income. Financial and operational costings of all four needed to be properly set out and this would form part of the consultation for the wider harbour vision.

The Southwold Harbour, Caravan and Campsite Manager stated that he was committed to making this successful. The team wanted to support the history of the site, support the current community and enable the users of the future. The fiscal impact of any decision on the wider operation did also need to be taken into account as the caravan and campsite was the main provider income to the harbour. There was a need to balance innovation and tradition and consider alternative options for income.

While this consultation was happening there were infrastructure improvements that would be made on the caravan and campsite regardless of what the final outcome and decision might be.

The Head of Operations stated that this was different to discussions that had previously taken place, which had assumed the current model would be maintained with minor increases in rent and improvements to facilities. The Committee was now being asked whether other models might be better, especially in light of budgetary considerations. The Head of Operations asked the Committee to consider what constraints should be.

Ms Perry Yates stated that Southwold Caravan Owners Association had no involvement in the four models mentioned, and whether these would be suitable or acceptable to current owners. This is not what they had been led to believe would happen. The Head of Operations stated that the Rural Solutions report had contained mention of a fifteen year licence, and this is what was meant by a lease. Ms Perry Yates stated that the consultation was not broad, it was one model with four slight changes, and until that point the assumption had been that the changes of the site would involve the current occupants.

The Chair stated that the point of the consultation was to enable people to provide their feedback, and SCOA members submit whatever feedback they wished.

The Southwold Harbour, Caravan and Campsite Manager stated that his understanding was that the site was there to support the harbour. If the consultation response was that things stayed as they were, the income would not be there sustain the Harbour. All options had to be considered, including all plots being turned over to a rental basis, even if this was unlikely.

Councillor Ashton stated that even if this was unpalatable, it should still be an option to ensure the consultation was truly wide open and would consider everything.

Mr Ogden asked what the time frame was for consultation and how this impacted the budget. The Head of Operations stated that the current budget of £1.5million was based on delivering option one in this plan. All options were based on roughly the same layout, the only thing that changed would be the tenure of the leases which would not be impact the capital budget but would impact operations.

Mr Flunder asked if the recommendations in the Rural Solutions report were being implemented. The Head of Operations confirmed that the budget had been costed on the back of this report, but no there was no agreement or action that this would be done. Some smaller projects on the site would be completed regardless of the wider redevelopment plan to ensure the site was safe and useable.

The Strategic Director confirmed that a fully scoped out consultation would be received by the Committee at their next meeting. A report would also be received on the smaller projects on the campsite to ensure people understood the difference between the smaller works and the full redevelopment.

Councillor Candy asked if the option of leasing the whole site to an external provider had been considered. The Head of Operations stated this had been discussed in the past and would not be considered now.

#### 9 Harbour Revision Order Update

The Head of Operations gave an update on the progress of the Harbour Revision Order (HRO).

The Harbour Revision Order had been submitted in September 2022. A large number of HROs had been submitted at the same time which had slowed down the process. The consultation for the Southwold HRO was expected to start in February.

The principals of the original 1933 act had been kept, including ringfencing of funds. The HRO did include new sections which would give the harbour master more powers to deal with issues that occurred in the Harbour. The HRO would not pass unless all stakeholders were broadly supportive.

#### 10 Update from the Stakeholder Advisory Group

Simon Flunder, Chairperson of the Advisory Group summarised the discussion at the groups last meeting.

The group had been positive about the vision and had asked for priorities and timescale for this work, and a clear plan for how the consultations would work. They stated that there was a need to involve all parties in all discussions to ensure good communication and trust between everyone involved.

There had been some disappointment around the budget and feeling that this was too conservative and not clear on where funds would go, and whether costs were realistic.

The group had also raised ongoing issues around sewage and flooding.

#### 11 Update on the Committee's Working Groups

The working groups had not met since the previous meeting.

#### 12 Date of the next meeting

The date of the next meeting was noted as as 14 March 2024 at 4pm.

The meeting concluded at 4:49 PN	1
Cha	ir



SOUTHWOLD HARBOUR MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE		
Date	14/03/2024	
Subject	2024 Capital Works Projects Overview	
Report Author(s)	James AJ Milnes	
	Southwold Harbour, Caravan and Campsite Manager	
	James.milnes@eastsuffolk.gov.uk	
Head of Service	Kerry Blair	
	Head of Operations	
	Kerry.blair@eastsuffolk.gov.uk	
Director	Kate Blakemore	
	Strategic Director	
	Kate.Blakemore@eastsuffolk.gov.uk	

Is the report Open or Exempt?	OPEN
Category of Exempt	Not applicable
Information and reason why it	
is <b>NOT</b> in the public interest to	

disclose the exempt

information.

### **Purpose/Summary**

To provide an overview of ongoing and planned capital works projects aimed at improving Southwold's infrastructure, enhancing public services, and supporting the local economy and community.

### Recommendation(s)

That the Harbour Management Committee:

Approve additional funding where needed and support the proposed scopes to ensure the successful completion of all projects within their projected timelines.

Strategic plan How does this proposal support Our Direction 2028?			
Environmental Impact	Projects designed with sustainability in mind, aiming to minimize ecological impact.		
Sustainable Housing	Not applicable		
Tackling Inequalities	Consideration given to making all new facilities accessible and inclusive.		
Thriving Economy	Enhance the business opportunities and revenue generating potential of the Harbour Lands.		
Our Foundations / governance of the organisation	Ensures projects align with council policies and strategic priorities.		

### **Justification for recommendations**

#### 1. Background

1.1. To accommodate the evolving needs of our community and the growing demands on our harbour facilities, it is imperative that we enhance our infrastructure and services. The harbour, a central hub for maritime activity, requires modernisation to sustain and boost the local economy, support the fishing and recreational boating industries, and improve the overall visitor experience. This entails not only upgrading existing facilities but also expanding our capacity to meet future demands. By investing in our infrastructure today, we position the harbour to thrive in the coming years, ensuring it remains a vibrant and accessible destination for all. This strategic approach aligns with our commitment to sustainable development and resilience, aiming to create a harbour that serves the needs of today while anticipating the challenges and opportunities of tomorrow. The revenue raised will be used to borrow for Capital Monies to support development.

#### 2. Introduction

2.1. A number of maintenance tasks have been carried out on both Static and Touring sites in preparation for the 2024 season. The most significant project is the refurbishment of the 'Some Like It Hut' shower facilities for both male and female ablution blocks. The works have consisted of removing the old boiler, showers and facias. We will be upgrading the male and female shower blocks. These enhancements include the installation of new, modern shower floors and cladding, refurbishment of the boiler system for improved hot water availability, and the replacement of pipework and floor tiles in the showers. These improvements are aimed at delivering a cleaner, fresher, and more reliable showering experience to all our users.

#### 3. Proposal

3.1. The following projects are currently being scoped:

#### 1. Caravan Site Redevelopment

Objective. Upgrade and expand to accommodate 140 units.

Budget. £1.5m (with a note on potential additional needs post-COVID).

Key Features. These will be subject to the outcome of the Consultation.

#### 2. Visitor Centre to include Harbour Masters Office

Objective: Construct a two-story building for harbour operations and visitor services. Budget. Up to £300k.

Facilities. Operations room, conference room, visitor amenities, tourist information centre, equipment store.

#### 3. Mains Sewer Extension through the Harbour

Objective. Extend the mains sewer line to support local businesses and reduce risk of pollution to Southwold Blue Flag Beach.

Budget. 150K (TBC).

#### 4. Harbour Road Repair

Objective. Address potholes and water puddling issues (immediate repairs).

#### 5. Berthing Upgrades for Fishing Boats and Visiting Yachts

Objective. Enhance berthing facilities.

Budget. £150k (additional funds likely required for bank piling).

Key Improvements. Upgraded staging areas, reinforced banks.

#### 4. Financial Implications

- 4.1. The financial implications of the proposed capital works projects include initial cost estimations, potential for budget reallocations, and the need for additional funding. Specifically:
  - 1. **Caravan Site Redevelopment**. Initial budget of £1.5m with potential additional costs due to post-COVID economic changes.
  - 2. Visitor Centre. Estimated up to £300k.
  - 3. **Mains Sewer Extension**. Currently lacks a dedicated funding line; requires budget estimation and allocation.
  - 4. Harbour Road Repair. Requires scoping and cost estimation; £10k.
  - 5. **Berthing Upgrades**. Allocated £150k but additional funding will be needed for complete implementation.
- 4.2. Budget reallocations, additional funding requests, and efficient resource management will be critical for addressing these financial implications.

#### 5. Legal Implications

5.1. The projects must comply with local construction and environmental regulations, including planning permissions, safety standards, and environmental impact assessments. Additionally, adherence to labour laws and contractual obligations with contractors is essential. Legal reviews will be undertaken to mitigate risks of noncompliance and ensure all activities are within the legal framework.

#### 6. Risk Implications

6.1. The projects carry risks related to budget overruns, project delays, environmental impacts, and community opposition. Mitigation strategies include detailed planning, stakeholder engagement, environmental assessments, and contingency budgeting. Regular monitoring and adaptive management will be essential to address any unforeseen challenges.

#### 7. Risk Implications

- 7.1. 1. **Full Scope Implementation**. Proceed with all projects as planned, ensuring comprehensive upgrades and expansions.
  - 2. **Phased Approach**. Prioritise projects based on urgency and available funding, implementing them in stages.
  - 3. **Public-Private Partnerships**. Explore partnerships with private entities to share costs and risks.

- **4. Alternative Funding**. Seek grants, loans, or community funding to supplement the budget.
- 5. **Scope Reduction**. Scale back the projects to fit within current budget constraints, focusing on essential upgrades.

#### 8. Recommendations

8.1. It is recommended to support and scope all proposed projects to fully understand each project's scale and requirements. This approach will ensure comprehensive planning, efficient budget allocation, and effective risk management, leading to successful project execution and enhanced harbour infrastructure.

#### 9. Reasons for Recommendations

9.1. This investment is essential for enhancing the economic, environmental, and social value of the harbour area. By upgrading and developing our infrastructure, we ensure that the harbour remains a competitive, sustainable, and inclusive asset for our community and future generations. These projects are aligned with our long-term strategic goals of fostering economic growth, improving community well-being, and ensuring environmental sustainability.

#### 10. Conclusions/Next Steps

10.1. We are proactively addressing the current needs of our harbour infrastructure by undertaking comprehensive estimation and scoping works for each of the outlined projects. This initial phase is crucial for understanding the full scope of requirements, potential challenges, and the financial implications of each project. By conducting thorough assessments at this stage, we aim to ensure that our planning is robust, our budgets are realistic, and our execution strategies are well-informed, laying a solid foundation for the successful enhancement of our harbour facilities and infrastructure.

## **Areas of consideration comments** Section 151 Officer comments: No additional comments. **Monitoring Officer comments:** No additional comments. **Equality, Diversity and Inclusion/EQIA:** Consideration given to making all new facilities accessible and inclusive. Safeguarding: No additional comments. Crime and Disorder: No additional comments. **Corporate Services implications:** (i.e., Legal, Finance, Procurement, Human Resources, Digital, Customer Services, Asset Management) No additional comments. **Residents and Businesses consultation/consideration:** No additional comments. **Appendices:** None

### **Background reference papers:**

None



## **Southwold Harbour Management Committee**

### Work Programme

9 May	Operational Update (Verbal)
	Draft Outturn report for Budget 23/24
SAG: 7 May	PMSC Audit Report (Report)
	Term of Office of Co-opted member John Ogden
	Heritage Harbours - update
	Update from the Working Groups
	Update from the SAG
	Work Programme
11 July	Operational Update (Verbal)
	Q1 Budget Monitoring Report
	Update from the Working Groups
	Update from consultation
	Update from the SAG
	Work Programme
12 September	Operational Update (Verbal)
	Mid-Year Budget Monitoring Report
	Update from the Working Groups
	Update from the SAG
	KPI development
	Work Programme
14 November	Operational Update (Verbal)
	• Fees and Charges 25/26
	Update from the Working Groups
	Update from the SAG
	Work Programme
	•
9 January 2025	Operational Update (Verbal)
,	Draft Budget 25/26
	Q3 Budget Monitoring Report
	Update from the Working Groups
	Update from the SAG
	Work Programme
	• Work Frogramme
13 March 2025	Operational Update (Verbal)
	Update from the Working Groups
	Update from the SAG
	Work Programme
	•
8 May 2025	Operational Update (Verbal)



- Draft Outturn report for Budget 24/25
- Term of Office of Co-opted member Mike Pickles
- Update from the Working Groups
- Update from the SAG
- Work Programme
- •

#### Items with date TBC