

STRATEGIC PLANNING COMMITTEE Monday, 10 October 2022

Subject	Appeals Performance Report – 20 May to 19 September 2022
Report by	Councillor David Ritchie
	Cabinet Member with responsibility for Planning and Coastal
	Management
Supporting	Ben Woolnough
Officer	Planning Manager (Development Management, Major Sites and
	Infrastructure)
	07833 406681
	Ben.woolnough@eastsuffolk.gov.uk
	Katherine Scott Principal Planner (Technical Lead, Development Management) 07867 155568 Katherine.scott@eastsuffolk.gov.uk

Is the report Open or Exempt?	OPEN
Category of Exempt	Not applicable
Information and reason why it	
is NOT in the public interest to	
disclose the exempt	
information.	
Wards Affected:	All Wards

Purpose and high-level overview

Purpose of Report:

Management Team in	n update on the planning performance of the Development terms of the quality and quantity of appeal decisions received from rate following refusal of planning permission by East Suffolk Council.
Options:	
None.	
Recommendation/s:	
That the content of the	e report be noted
Corporate Impact	t Assessment
Governance:	
Not applicable.	
ESC policies and strate	egies that directly apply to the proposal:
Not applicable.	
Environmental:	
Not applicable.	
Equalities and Diversit	ty:
Not applicable.	
Financial:	
Not applicable.	
Human Resources:	
Not applicable.	
ICT:	
Not applicable.	
Legal:	
Not applicable.	
Risk:	
Not applicable.	
External Consultees:	None

Strategic Plan Priorities

Select the priorities of the <u>Strategic Plan</u> which are supported by			Secondary
this proposal: (Select only one primary and as many secondary as appropriate)		priority	priorities
T01	Growing our Economy		
P01	Build the right environment for East Suffolk		×
P02	Attract and stimulate inward investment		
P03	Maximise and grow the unique selling points of East Suffolk		×
P04	Business partnerships		
P05	Support and deliver infrastructure		
T02	Enabling our Communities		
P06	Community Partnerships		
P07	Taking positive action on what matters most	×	×
P08	Maximising health, well-being and safety in our District		
P09	Community Pride		\boxtimes
T03	Maintaining Financial Sustainability		
P10	Organisational design and streamlining services		
P11	Making best use of and investing in our assets		
P12	Being commercially astute		
P13	Optimising our financial investments and grant opportunities		
P14	Review service delivery with partners		
T04	Delivering Digital Transformation		
P15	Digital by default		
P16	Lean and efficient streamlined services		
P17	Effective use of data		
P18	Skills and training		
P19	District-wide digital infrastructure		×
T05	Caring for our Environment		
P20	Lead by example		×
P21	Minimise waste, reuse materials, increase recycling		
P22	Renewable energy		
P23	Protection, education and influence		
XXX	Governance		
XXX	How ESC governs itself as an authority		\boxtimes
How does this proposal support the priorities selected?			
To provide information on the performance of the enforcement section			

Background and Justification for Recommendation

1 Background facts

1.1 The report is presented to Members as rolling reporting mechanism on how the Council is performing on both the quality and quantity of appeal decisions received from the Planning Inspectorate.

2	Current position
2.1	A total of 12 planning appeal decisions (with one associated costs appeal) and one enforcement appeal have been received from the Planning Inspectorate since the 20 May 2022 following a refusal of planning permission from East Suffolk Council. In addition to these appeals, a decision was also received in relation to an application for Judicial Review of a previous appeal decision.
2.2	A summary of all the appeal decisions received is appended to this report (Appendix A).
2.3	The Planning Inspectorate monitor appeal success rates at Local Authorities and therefore it is important to ensure that the Council is robust on appeals, rigorously defending reasons for refusal. Appeal decisions also provide a clear benchmark for how policy is to be interpreted and applications considered.
2.4	Very few planning refusals are appealed (approximately 20%) and nationally on average there is a 42% appellant success rate for major applications, 27% success rate for minor applications and 39% success rate for householder applications.
2.5	11 of the appeal decisions related to applications which were delegated decisions determined by the Head of Planning and Coastal Management, with the remaining one being determined at South Planning Committee.
2.6	Of the planning appeals, eight of the decisions were dismissed (66.6%) and four of the decisions were allowed (33.3%) by the Planning Inspectorate.
2.7	Nine of the appeals were for minor applications with four allowed (44%) and five dismissed (56%).
2.8	Two of the appeals were for householder applications and both were dismissed (100%).
2.9	The other appeal decision related to a certificate of lawfulness application. It was dismissed.
2.10	There are no significant issues arising with the planning appeals which have been allowed, although the appendix provides a summary of learning points of all appeals.

- 2.11 An application for Judicial Review against the decision of the Planning Inspector to dismiss an appeal against the refusal of a Prior Notification application for the conversion of a building to a dwelling under Class Q, and the associated refusal of an application for costs was also refused. A summary of this decision is included within Appendix A.
 2.12 Members will note that one claim of costs against the Council has been received, with the decision refused on the grounds that unreasonable behaviour resulting in unnecessary or wasted expense had not been demonstrated, and therefore costs were not awarded to the appellant.
- 3 How to address current situation
- 3.1 Quarterly monitoring
- 4 Reason/s for recommendation
- 4.1 That the report concerning the appeals decisions received is noted

Appendices

Appendices:

Appendix A | Summary of all appeal decisions received

Background reference papers:

None.