



East Suffolk
Community
Partnerships
Bringing ideas to life

Aldeburgh
Leiston
Saxmundham
& villages

Aldeburgh, Leiston, Saxmundham and villages Community Partnership

Chair: Councillor Tony Cooper (East Suffolk Council)

Vice-Chair: Vacant

East Suffolk Councillors:

Councillor Jocelyn Bond

Councillor Tony Cooper

Councillor John Fisher

Suffolk County Councillor:

Role Vacant

Partnership Organisations:

Local Town and Parish Councils

Suffolk Constabulary

Ipswich and East Suffolk Clinical
Commissioning Group

Community Action Suffolk

Leiston Together

Business Community

Youth Community

Environment

Members of the **Aldeburgh, Leiston, Saxmundham and villages Community Partnership** are invited to a meeting to be held remotely via Zoom on **Wednesday 19 May 2021 at 3:30pm**

This meeting will be broadcast to the public via the East Suffolk YouTube Channel at
<https://youtu.be/LGdExaQwLUM>

Agenda

Pages

1 Welcome and Apologies for Absence

2 Rural Proofing Toolkit

To receive a presentation by Sarah Mortimer, Community Action Suffolk, followed by discussion.

Rural Proofing Toolkit

1 - 6

3 Terms of Reference

To receive and note the revised generic Terms of Reference for the Community Partnerships

Community Partnerships Generic Terms of Reference - Updated April 2021 - FINAL (1)

7 - 9

4 Review of the Community Partnership's Membership

To review the membership of the Community Partnership in light of the recently revised Terms of Reference

ALS CP Core Membership List as at 24.3.21

10 - 11

5 Forward Work Programme

To receive and note the current forward work programme

Forward work programme

12 - 12

6 Date of Next Meeting - To be Confirmed

Wednesday 21 July 2021

Filming, Videoing, Photography and Audio Recording at Partnership Meetings

The Council and members of the partnership may record / film / photograph or broadcast this meeting. Any member of the public who attends a meeting and objects to being filmed should advise the Democratic Services Team (in advance), who will instruct that they are not included in any filming.

If you require this document in large print, audio or Braille or in a different language, please contact the Democratic Services Team on 01502 523521 or email: democraticservices@eastsoffolk.gov.uk



The national Charter and Charter Plus Awards for Elected Member Development
East Suffolk Council is committed to achieving excellence in elected member development
www.local.gov.uk/Community-Leadership

--

Introduction

Rural areas have an abundance of assets which can be visible such the built and natural environment, or hidden, particularly within people such as skills, gifts, passions and knowledge. Within the Community Partnership areas there are many rural communities working together to keep themselves safe, secure and well cared for without outside support, but they know where to go should they need it. Self-sufficient communities flourish; are vibrant and resilient; they should be embraced and encouraged.

Each Community Partnership area is unique with its own mix of urban and rural areas and, within those, exist vast variations in population, topography, employment, connectivity and economy. These directly impact on well-being and quality of life. Whilst unintentional, it can be easy to focus on the development of projects/services centred around market towns leaving rural areas overlooked.

Rural communities benefit from services developed, approved, commissioned and/or delivered by outside agencies who face challenges such as

- rural communities tend to be further away from urban/market town areas and more spread out across sometimes less accessible areas;
- proportionally, more older people tend to live in rural areas who may require increased levels of support and/or services;
- public transport services are less frequent and higher travelling distances leads to increased costs.

The Rural-Urban Classification defines areas as rural if they are outside settlements with more than 10,000 resident population, and as urban if inside such settlements, according to Defra. Whilst some Community Partnerships have clear urban or rural areas, others have varying levels of rurality across the area with between one and three market towns, or other larger settlement areas. For these Community Partnerships it is worth considering all areas outside of market towns as rural.

What is rural proofing?

“Rural proofing is a means to achieve equally effective and successful outcomes for communities, businesses and individuals from policy and in the design and delivery of (publicly funded) services, regardless of their size or location.” [i]

Rural proofing involves asking questions, encouraging discussions, and evidencing this has happened, and the resulting outcomes. Rural proofing is an approach that should be used at each stage of policy and project/service development as well as delivery, starting at the point of initial planning through to evaluation.

Why should Community Partnerships use a rural proofing approach?

All decisions made by the Community Partnership will have an impact on rural areas as all Community Partnerships have rural areas. It is important that these decisions impact fairly on rural areas taking into consideration the challenges they face and their unique assets. Rural proofing helps to

- enable the achievement of the Community Partnership's stated priorities through delivery of impactful projects;
- understand the scale of that direct and indirect impact and what actions need to be taken for the best outcome for rural areas;
- contribute to local growth by achieving good economic, environmental and social solutions;
- demonstrate understanding of the area, encourage collaboration and commitment to equity for all;
- provide a framework to work with which supports opportunities to discuss, reflect and evidence whether the Community Partnership's priorities and subsequent projects are equally accessible to all
- influence the development of stronger projects/services to ensure equity.

Why is rural proofing effective for Community Partnerships?

Rural proofing is effective because it

- identifies unintended gaps in service accessibility;
- identifies and encourages the best use of all available local resources and assets;
- identifies opportunities to innovate in collaboration with communities and groups;
- considers access and infrastructure, employment, economy and the environment;
- demonstrates understanding and commitment to equity to provide fair access to all;
- embeds good practice and provides evidence to demonstrate rural consideration.

When and how should Community Partnerships rural proof?

Project Initiation

- Will this be available to rural areas?
- Have rural areas contributed to the project ideas? How?
- Is there support from rural areas? What evidence is there of this?
- What direct and indirect impact will this have on rural areas? Is this fair?
- Which rural assets can be utilised?
- What will the impact be on rural areas compared with urban areas?
- Are there opportunities for collaborative working?

Project Close Down or Transfer

(through reflection & evaluation)

- What worked well for rural areas?
- How many from rural areas accessed the project/service?
- What is the impact? What evidence is there of this?
- What learning can be taken forward to other projects/services for rural areas?
- What could be amended to improve the delivery and impact in rural areas? Are there budget implications?

Community Partnership project/service

Planning

- Are there any barriers for rural areas to access this project/service? E.g. access/infrastructure
- How will this be communicated effectively?
- What adjustments (if any) can be made to ensure equity for rural areas? Are there budget implications? Is the project still viable in rural communities?
- How will this be monitored?

Delivery

(through monitoring & evaluation)

- What is working well for rural areas?
- What is delivery like on the ground in rural areas compared to urban areas?
- How many are accessing this project or service from rural areas compared to non-rural areas?
- Is the project/service effective?
- What is the impact of the delivery?
- What could be amended to improve the delivery and impact in rural areas?

How rural proofing can be embedded across the Community Partnerships

Community Partnerships (CP) should discuss the following suggestions and apply those which will help adopt a rural proofing approach.

Terms of Reference

The East Suffolk Community Partnerships and the Community Partnership Board have their own Terms of Reference that set out the rules of each partnership. These should be reviewed and perhaps updated to include a commitment to rural proofing. The following examples could be included:

- **Section 1.2 "At least one core member to be a rural champion"**
- **Section 2.0 "Consider how CP decisions impact on rural areas"**
- **Section 3.1 "Ensure all decisions have given due consideration to the direct and indirect impact on rural areas."**

Rural Representation

Each Community Partnership should review membership and attendance around the table to ensure that there is proportionate representation there for rural areas and rural communities. A CP area with a high proportion of rural area and/or population should have this reflected in its membership.

- **Does the CP know the proportion of rural/non rural residents and geographical area?**
- **Does the CP membership reflect this including all 'hats' individuals wear?**
- **Are multiple hats of members identified explicitly? E.g. A Parish Council AND a youth group**
- **Does the CP need to identify and recruit rural representation?**
- **What can the CP do to stimulate engagement with rural areas?**

Rural Champions

The role of the rural champions as part of the core partnership could be:

- To explain and help the partnerships to understand what rural proofing is;
- To remind partnership members to consider any implications on rural communities and equal accessibility;
- To question what can be done to ensure positive implications affecting rural areas;
- To ensure that any project/service developments address rural considerations throughout the decision-making processes.
- **Who are the rural champions for the CP?**
- **Does the CP need to identify and recruit this rural champion?**
- **Do they understand the role?**
- **Do they need to meet separately as a sub-group?**

Community Partnership Priorities

The priorities should be reflective of the development needed across the whole CP for both rural and non-rural areas to deliver against these priorities. There should be clear evidence to support this, subject to appropriate and regular review.

- **Do the priorities need reviewing? Do the priorities reflect the needs of rural areas?**

Budget Allocation

Consideration should be given to reviewing budget allocations to ensure that they reflect the rural/urban split of that CP, and that rural needs are being addressed. It may be necessary to consider ringfencing an appropriate proportion of the CP's budget to ensure fair allocation of monies.

- **What proportion of funding has been allocated and spent so far on rural areas and residents?**
- **How does this compare to non-rural areas?**
- **How does this compare to the rural/non-rural population and/or geographical split?**
- **What can the CP do to stimulate engagement and project ideas from rural areas?**

The Community Partnership should ensure the rural proofing approach is continued across its small grants scheme to encourage the opportunity to consider the needs of, and impact on, rural areas and residents.

- **What proportion of funding will be ringfenced for rural areas and residents?**
- **How does this compare to non-rural areas and residents?**
- **How can the CP encourage effective communication with rural communities and stimulate discussions about community led projects, making best use of local assets?**

Application notes should clearly explain the importance of rural consideration and its impact on communities.

Example wording for application notes

The Community Partnership is committed to applying a rural proofing approach to its work. This ensures that any decisions made by the Community Partnership will be made considering equity for rural areas. We would like to see this approach reflected in the community projects we support and therefore encourage applicants to tell us how they have considered rural areas and residents.

The funding application should have specific questions asking about inclusivity and accessibility for people living in rural areas.

Example questions and explanations for the application form

What can be done to encourage participation from rural residents and ensure that local assets are utilised fully? What are the barriers to participation for rural residents and how can these be overcome?

- Applicants should demonstrate they are engaged with the community and what they will contribute.
- Applicants should consider what could be done to overcome any real or perceived barriers.
- There might be cost implications to these so budgeted costs may increase.

Is your project accessible to rural residents? If so, how?

- Applicants should consider whether their project/service can be reached by rural residents particularly those who do not have access to a private car.
- The cost of transport such as a bus, community transport or taxi might be a barrier for some.
- Transport schedules may not fit with session/service times.

Will planned communication reach rural residents?

- Spreading the word in rural areas can be more difficult due to fewer lines of communication and sparser populations.
- Multiple methods of communication will have a greater impact than just one.
- Examples include local newsletters, notice boards, social media including paid for services such as Facebook boosts, posters/flyers, 1 to 1 or small group conversations at community activities, word of mouth, through schools and local organisations.

How will rural participation be monitored?

- Applicants should think about how they will monitor where participants come from to ensure fair access to rural and non-rural residents.
- Applicants may need to amend their plans at a later stage to take any rural/non-rural imbalances into consideration.

Clear application assessment criteria including those for the rural proofing approach should be in place for the assessment process.

Youth Cafe / Summer Activities for Young People

Young People in the area have voiced that they would like to have a regular drop in for young people where they can get together with others in a safe space. They would like to have a café with Wi-Fi as well as space to do activities. Suitable space has been identified in a market town.

Questions to facilitate discussion

Communication

- How will the service be promoted effectively to rural young people/residents to reach the widest audience?
- How will rural residents know about any volunteering opportunities and be encouraged to participate?
- What other methods of communication could be used?
- How could other groups/organisations/partners support with communication?

Access

- Can young people and volunteers from rural areas realistically get there?
- How will they get there? Walk? Cycle? Lift from family? Lift with others? Public or community transport?
- How will session times impact on this?
- Could session times be changed to fit in with public transport? Or when lifts are available?
- Will daylight hours impact on safe travel for those walking and cycling?
- Could transport be provided to get there?
- Could this be a mobile service so that the youth provision is delivered in more communities reducing the need to travel and enabling more people to use it? Will this have the same impact?
- Could IT solutions be used so people do not need to travel?
- Will IT solutions negatively impact on social/mental health needs of young people already living in remote rural areas?
- Are there already similar provisions running which could be expanded nearby to provide a similar facility for those who cannot get there?
- Project targets could be set for the number of young people from rural areas attending to ensure that the service provider actively promotes and encourages young people from rural areas. Consider if additional funds are required.

Assets

- Will the project make the most of local assets in rural areas? E.g. skills, connections, knowledge

Cost

- Will the cost of transport be more prohibitive for people from rural areas to get there?
- Could transport subsidies be offered to enable people to get there?
- Will rural broadband quality and cost be prohibitive?
- Will IT costs to YP be prohibitive and therefore a barrier to them accessing the service?

Monitoring

- What monitoring information could be provided to evidence engagement and participation from rural areas?
- How could any learning be used to expand the project/service into other rural areas?
- How will good news stories, impact and learning be shared and communicated?

Good Neighbour Schemes

The proposal is for our Community Partnership to support our smaller and perhaps less formal community groups to build capacity, increase sustainability and thrive, for the benefit of the community. The Good Neighbours Scheme (GNS) is a strong, tried and tested model which continues to grow across Suffolk. GNSs are established in many parishes across our Community Partnership area which operate on the basis of residents coming together to support each other, feel safe, build local connections and reduce isolation ensuring that no-one is left without someone local to call. Where appropriate, we would like to support relevant community groups to adopt this model and become part of a strong, vibrant network.

Questions to facilitate discussion

Assets

- How are local people able to shape and influence development of a GNS and what the scheme offers?
- How are young people able to shape and influence development of a GNS and what the scheme offers?
- What local skills and assets can be utilised? E.g. local skills, knowledge, other physical assets, existing groups, activities. How have these been identified? How do residents know their skills are needed?
- What opportunities are there for collaborative working within the community, surrounding areas and the Suffolk GNS Network or alternative networks?
- What additional support could be introduced through the GNS which will be of benefit to the residents and reduce the need to travel further afield? What evidence is there that residents would like this? How will these be welcomed by the local community?
- How could a GNS support building upon existing local connections to increase community cohesion?

Communications

- How will the GNS be communicated effectively to rural residents to ensure they are aware of the support?
- What other methods of communication could be used?
- How to reach those feeling isolated? How about those who are 'hidden'?
- How could other groups/organisations/partners support with communication?

Access

- How will volunteers physically reach other residents to offer support without access to a car? Walking? Cycling? Lift from family? Public or community transport?
- If walking and cycling, are the routes safe especially if travelling when it is dark? Are the roads busy?
- Will there be reliable mobile or broadband services to access the GNS and provide support? If this is poor, how will this affect volunteers and those requesting support? How can this be overcome?
- Will residents need to get online to access their GNS? Are there any barriers which will need to be overcome? Is there support with IT?
- Could the GNS offer services which rely on transport e.g. lifts to hospital appointments, shopping trips?

Economy

- Will the GNS create volunteering opportunities for adults and young people to make use of local skills and knowledge?
- How will the GNS impact on local businesses?
- What impact could a GNS have for a community e.g. new services/business, increased demand for houses?

Monitoring

- What monitoring information could be provided to evidence engagement and participation from rural areas?
- How could any learning be used to encourage other rural and non rural parishes to introduce a GNS?
- How will the impact of the GNS be promoted? E.g. case studies, newsletter articles, community websites. Will this reach a wide audience?

East Suffolk Community Partnerships - Terms of Reference

Community Partnerships (CPs) are an innovative way for the Council, partners and communities to work together to 'bring ideas to life' by taking a local approach to local priorities, following the creation of East Suffolk Council (ES), with larger wards and fewer (55) Councillors.

1. Form and Membership

- 1.1** There will be eight Community Partnerships (CPs), covering the whole of the ES Council area, based on groupings of communities, and using the ES ward boundaries as the building blocks. A plan showing the area of each CP is attached to these terms of reference. The CPs will report to the CP Board and the ES Cabinet.
- 1.2** The core voting membership of each CP will be drawn from the following pool dependent on the priorities of the CP (which means that each CP's membership will vary as some sectors or individuals may not be included as voting members, although they could be included as non-voting interested bodies/people):
- The ES Councillors for the CP area (between 4 and 14 Councillors)
 - The Suffolk County Council (SCC) Councillor(s) for the CP area (to sit on whichever/however many CPs best fit their SCC Division)
 - 2 representatives (from each Town Council in the CP area)
 - A representative from at least one Parish Council/Meeting for each of the individual ES wards within the CP area
 - A representative from Suffolk Police
 - A representative from Great Yarmouth and Waveney CCG or Ipswich and East Suffolk CCG (whichever serves the CP)
 - At least two representatives from the Voluntary, Community and Social Enterprise sector (VCSE) nominated and supported by Community Action Suffolk
 - At least one representative from the business community
 - At least one representative from the youth community
 - A representative from Lowestoft Rising, Leiston Together or Felixstowe Forward, in the CPs covering Lowestoft or Leiston or Felixstowe.
 - At least one of the core members to be a 'rural champion' – representing and championing the views and needs of rural communities
- 1.3** Core voting members of the CP will be expected to;
- live, work or trade in the CP area,
 - have sufficient authority to speak for their organisation/partnership and
 - report back to their organisation/partnership on the activities of the CP.
- 1.4** The quorum for any meeting of the CP will be a minimum of 6 voting members of the CP. The membership of the CP will be reviewed annually in May, following the District or County Council elections (where applicable). If there is not a quorum present at each meeting of the CP, it will not be able to make any decisions at that meeting.

2. CP Principles

- Involve local councils, public services, communities, voluntary sector organisations and businesses in planning and delivering joined up services that meet local needs
- Make the best collective use of our assets and resources
- Base decisions on data and intelligence about local places
- Identify, understand and address deprivation, disadvantage and hidden needs and consider how CP decisions impact on both rural and non-rural areas
- Champion, represent and influence on behalf of East Suffolk and the eight Community Partnerships

3. Role of the CPs

3.1 CPs will:

- a) focus on cross cutting issues for which no one organisation is solely responsible
- b) hold an annual CP workshop, ideally including representatives of the wider community of the CP area to set or confirm the priorities for that CP, which are based on local data and knowledge
- c) develop an annual work plan to address the CP priorities for the coming year, and support delivery of the ES Strategic Plan
- d) address the CP priorities and identified gaps in service provision using a solution-focussed and problem-solving approach
- e) monitor and adapt their agenda in response to emerging issues affecting the CP area or a wider area in ES, including issues raised through Youth Voice and other sources of community intelligence
- f) report quarterly to the CP Board, and annually to the ES Cabinet, on progress against their priorities, and provide such other verbal/written reports to other organisations or partnership bodies, as requested.
- g) develop proposals for expenditure against the annual budget of £25,000 per CP and seek authorisation for actual expenditure from the ES Cabinet Member for Communities
- i) bid for additional funds from the ES Strategic Budget and from other external sources in order to deliver the priorities of the CP
- j) create Task & Finish/Working Groups as required to explore specific issues/priorities in greater detail
- k) conduct or commission research into policy or service delivery issues affecting the CP area
- l) actively support and send representatives to participate in the CPs Annual Forum
- m) ensure that all decisions have given due regard to the direct and indirect impacts on rural areas

4. Chair and Vice Chair of CPs

4.1 Each CP will be chaired by an ES Councillor for the CP area, appointed by the Leader of East Suffolk Council. This Councillor will (a detailed Job Description is available);

- fulfil this role until such time as they are replaced by the Leader of East Suffolk Council
- sit on the East Suffolk CP Board
- provide a strong sense of purpose and direction to the CP
- drive the CP's annual work programme
- work alongside a range of Officers including the Communities Officer, Communities Manager and other Communities Team members, Head of Communities and the Partnerships Manager.

Updated: April 2021

- 4.2 The Vice Chair will be elected annually by the members of the CP and will deputise for, and take decisions on behalf of, the Chair in their absence.

5. Meetings of the CPs

- 5.1 CP Meetings will be by invitation but held in public and their style will be inclusive and interactive. Wherever possible they will be organised to problem solve (for example workshop style) rather than as formal meetings.
- 5.2 The “Municipal Year” for CPs will align with the financial year so will run from April to March and meetings will normally be held at least three times each year, although additional meetings may be called by the Chair as necessary, on at least five clear working days written notice. Task and Finish Groups may be established in order to progress Community Partnership business between CP meetings.
- 5.3 The dates of each CP Meeting will be agreed in advance by the CP and they will be held at a suitable venue within the CP area or remotely using video conferencing facilities.
- 5.4 Any member of the CP may request that an item be included on the agenda for a meeting. The meeting papers will be sent out by electronic mail (or post if necessary to those without internet access) to all members of the CP no later than five clear working days before the meeting.
- 5.5 Agendas and action points arising from each meeting will be recorded and published on the Community Partnership pages of the ESC website.

6. Voting

- 6.1 The aim at each CP meeting will be to reach decisions by a consensus with all core voting members of the CP being eligible to vote, and each will have one vote, indicated by a show of hands. The Chair of the CP will determine when there is no consensus and therefore an issue should be put to the vote. However, it is suggested that any funding matters should generally be voted on formally with core voting Members stating their vote verbally. Whichever method is used, a majority vote of those present and voting will prevail. The Chairman of the CP will have a casting vote.

7. Financial Accountability

- 7.1 The ES Council will operate as an accountable body for the eight CPs and the CP Board. The budget for each CP will be allocated against agreed priorities (unique to each CP) and clear criteria (the same for all eight CPs) which will be agreed at the first meeting of the CP each year. These are designed to ensure that this funding is not used for purposes beyond the ES Council’s powers, or the law, and that projects supported align with the ES Council’s Strategic Plan.



**East Suffolk
Community
Partnerships**
Bringing ideas to life

**Aldeburgh
Leiston
Saxmundham
& villages**

Aldeburgh, Leiston, Saxmundham and Villages Community Partnership

Core Membership (Voting Members)

Name	Representing (A-Z)	Email Address
Cllr Tony Cooper	CP Chairman	tony.cooper@eastsuffolk.gov.uk
Cllr Marianne Fellowes	Aldeburgh Town Council	mariannefellowes@hotmail.co.uk
Stuart Watson	Cyds Project	cydsproject@hotmail.co.uk
Zoe Botten (non-voting)	ESC Communities Officer	zoe.botten@eastsuffolk.gov.uk
Joanne Thain	ESC Leiston Change Manager	Joanne.thain@eastsuffolk.gov.uk
Cllr Jocelyn Bond	ESC Ward Member for the Aldeburgh and Leiston Ward	jocelyn.bond@eastsuffolk.gov.uk
Cllr John Fisher	ESC Ward Member for the Saxmundham Ward	john.fisher@eastsuffolk.gov.uk
Cllr Nigel Black	Knodishall Parish Council	knodishallpc@outlook.com
Cllr Anthony Wigg	Knodishall Parish Council	knodishallpc@outlook.com
Heather Brewell	Knodishall Parish Council	knodishallpc@outlook.com
Cllr Lesley Hill	Leiston Town Council	lesleymhill45@gmail.com
Caroline Rinder	Town Clerk, Leiston Town Council	admin@leistontowncouncil.gov.uk
Cllr Julian Cusack	Middleton Parish Council	Julian.cusack@btinternet.com
Cllr Stephen Brett	Theberton & Eastbridge Parish Councils	Stephen.brett@hotmail.co.uk
Cllr Mary Schedrin	Friston Parish Council	mharecon@aol.com

As at 24 March 2021

Roz Barnett	Clerk, Saxmundham Town Council	townclerk@saxmundham-tc.gov.uk
CLlr Russ Rainger	Vice-Chairman, Snape Parish Council	russellrainger@yahoo.co.uk
Di Eastman	IP17 Good Neighbour Scheme	dieastman.de@gmail.com
Sarah Mortimer	Community Action Suffolk	sarah.mortimer@communityactionsuffolk.org.uk
Emma Ratzer	CEO Access CT	emma.ratzer@accessct.org
Sharon Cuthbert	Leiston GNS	leistongoodneighbour@gmail.com leistondementiaproject@gmail.com

Non-Core Membership (non-voting)

Other representatives who may attend in future, depending on priorities etc:

Name	Representing (A-Z)	Email Address
Morag McInnes	ESC Economic Development Officer	morag.mcinnnes@eastsuffolk.gov.uk
Daniel Wareing	ESC Green Print Forum	daniel.wareing@eastsuffolk.gov.uk
Maddie Baker-Woods	Ipswich and East Suffolk CCG	maddie.baker-woods@ipswichandeast-suffolkccg.nhs.uk
Supt Kerry Cutler	Southern Area Commander for Suffolk Constabulary	kerry.cutler@suffolk.pnn.police.uk
Becki Gaunt Housing Manager	Flagship Homes	Becki.Gaunt@flagship-homes.co.uk
Tim Roberts	IP17 Good Neighbour Scheme (not PC representative)	timkelsalepc@gmail.com
Antony Parke	Access CT	Antony.Parke@accessct.org

Additional suggested members, to be considered:

- Aldeburgh Baptist Church
- Aldeburgh Society (Katherine Mackie)



East Suffolk
Community
Partnerships

Bringing ideas to life

Aldeburgh
Leiston
Saxmundham
& villages

FORWARD WORK PROGRAMME

Wednesday 21 July 2021 at 3.30pm

- **Written update from the most recent meeting of the Community Partnership Board in June** – Cllr Tony Cooper to feedback as Chair on any impact on the Community Partnership/ Luke Bennett to provide any additional detail
- **Election of a Vice Chairman** (Clerk to send information to the CP voting members beforehand re process)
- **Application for funding** – Yarnbombing Project, Leiston
- **Application for funding** – Pilot project Photoshed, Leiston
- **Notes of the March and May meetings of the CP**
- **Election of a Vice Chairman**

Future items - Date to be confirmed

New funding opportunities (as mentioned by Nicole Rickard at meeting on 1 December 2020)
Response from Town and Parishes small claims
Snape Welcome pack
Encourage and enable everyone to be more physically active and healthy
Community groups IP15, IP16 & IP17
Good Neighbour Schemes IP15, IP16 & IP17
Churches Together IP15, IP16 & IP17
Rose & Sweet William Club IP16
AGNES, Aldeburgh good neighbour scheme
Parish Nursing IP15
Update from each CPB (Cllr Cooper/Luke Bennett)