

# STRATEGIC PLANNING COMMITTEE Monday, 13 December 2021

Subject	APPEALS PERFORMANCE REPORT – 20 SEPTEMBER TO 1 DECEMBER 2021
Report of	Councillor David Ritchie  Cabinet Member with responsibility for Planning and Coastal  Management
Supporting Officer	Ben Woolnough  Planning Manager (Development Management)  01394 444593  ben.woolnough@eastsuffolk.gov.uk

Is the report Open or Exempt?	OPEN
Category of Exempt Information and reason why it is <b>NOT</b> in the public interest to disclose the exempt information.	Not applicable
Wards Affected:	All Wards

#### Purpose and high-level overview

Not applicable.

Not applicable.

Not applicable.

**External Consultees:** 

None

ICT:

Legal:

Risk:

## **Purpose of Report:** This report provides an update on the planning performance of the Development Management Team in terms of the quality and quantity of appeal decisions received from the Planning Inspectorate following refusal of planning permission by East Suffolk Council. **Options:** Not applicable. Recommendation/s: That the content of the report be noted **Corporate Impact Assessment Governance:** Not applicable. ESC policies and strategies that directly apply to the proposal: Not applicable. **Environmental:** Not applicable. **Equalities and Diversity:** Not applicable. Financial: Not applicable. **Human Resources:**

Not applicable.

### **Strategic Plan Priorities**

	Select the priorities of the <u>Strategic Plan</u> which are supported by Primary Secondary			
this proposal:		priority	priorities	
	ct only one primary and as many secondary as appropriate)			
T01	Growing our Economy			
P01	Build the right environment for East Suffolk			
P02	Attract and stimulate inward investment			
P03	Maximise and grow the unique selling points of East Suffolk			
P04	Business partnerships			
P05	Support and deliver infrastructure			
T02	Enabling our Communities	,		
P06	Community Partnerships			
P07	Taking positive action on what matters most		$\boxtimes$	
P08	Maximising health, well-being and safety in our District			
P09	Community Pride		$\boxtimes$	
T03	Maintaining Financial Sustainability			
P10	Organisational design and streamlining services			
P11	Making best use of and investing in our assets			
P12	Being commercially astute			
P13	Optimising our financial investments and grant opportunities			
P14	Review service delivery with partners			
T04	<b>Delivering Digital Transformation</b>			
P15	Digital by default			
P16	Lean and efficient streamlined services			
P17	Effective use of data			
P18	Skills and training			
P19	District-wide digital infrastructure		×	
T05	Caring for our Environment			
P20	Lead by example		×	
P21	Minimise waste, reuse materials, increase recycling			
P22	Renewable energy			
P23	Protection, education and influence			
XXX	Governance			
XXX	How ESC governs itself as an authority		×	
How does this proposal support the priorities selected?				
To provide information on the performance of the enforcement section.				

#### **Background and Justification for Recommendation**

#### 1 Background facts

1.1 The report is presented to Members as rolling reporting mechanism on how the Council is performing on both the quality and quantity of appeal decisions received from the Planning Inspectorate.

2	Current position
2.1	A total of six planning appeal decisions have been received from the Planning Inspectorate since the 20 September 2021 following a refusal of planning permission from East Suffolk Council.
2.2	A summary of all the appeals received is included in this report
2.3	The Planning Inspectorate monitor appeal success rates at Local Authorities and therefore it is important to ensure that the Council is robust on appeals, rigorously defending reasons for refusal. Appeal decisions also provide a clear benchmark for how policy is to be interpreted and applications considered.
2.4	Very few planning refusals are appealed (approximately 20%) and nationally on average there is a 42% appellant success rate for major applications, 27% success rate for minor applications and 39% success rate for householder applications.
2.5	Five of the appeal decisions related to applications which were delegated decisions determined by the Head of Planning and Coastal Management and one decision was determined through the Planning Committee in accordance with the Officer's recommendation.
2.6	Of the planning appeals, four of the decisions were dismissed (66.6%) and two of the decisions were allowed (33.3%) by the Planning Inspectorate.
	Four of the appeals were for minor applications with two allowed (50%) and nine dismissed (50%).
	One of the appeals was for householder applications and was allowed (100%)
	One dismissed appeal was for a Certificate of Lawful Existing Development (CLE) and was dismissed.
	The number of appeal decisions in this quarter the Council's success rate too small to give an fair reflection of success against the national averages but the overall 66% success rate provides confidence that the Council is able to robustly defend against unacceptable development and has a suite of policies available to assist defence. The summaries of the appeals include a section on key issues and any lessons which could be learnt.
2.7	There are no significant issues arising with the planning appeals which have been allowed, summaries cover the learning points of all appeals.
2.8	Members will note that one claim of costs against the Council has been received, with the decision refused on the grounds that unreasonable behaviour resulting in unnecessary or wasted expense had not been demonstrated.

3	How to address current situation
3.1	Quarterly monitoring

4	Reason/s for recommendation
4.1	That the report concerning the appeals decisions received be noted

#### **Appendices**

#### Appendices:

Appendix A Summary of all appeal decisions received

#### Background reference papers:

None.